

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

---

## Metric currents and polylipschitz forms

Pankka, Pekka

2020-04-08

---

Pankka , P & Soultanis , E 2020 , ' Metric currents and polylipschitz forms ' , Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations , vol. 59 , no. 2 , 76 . <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-020-01741-5>

---

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/336879>

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-020-01741-5>

---

acceptedVersion

---

*Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.*

*This is an electronic reprint of the original article.*

*This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.*

*Please cite the original version.*

# METRIC CURRENTS AND POLYLIPSCHITZ FORMS

PEKKA PANKKA AND ELEFTERIOS SOULTANIS

ABSTRACT. We construct, for a locally compact metric space  $X$ , a space of polylipschitz forms  $\bar{\Gamma}_c^*(X)$ , which is a pre-dual for the space of metric currents of  $\mathcal{D}_*(X)$  Ambrosio and Kirchheim. These polylipschitz forms may be seen as an analog of differential forms in the metric setting.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], Ambrosio and Kirchheim extended the Federer–Fleming theory of currents to general metric spaces by substituting the differential structure on the domain for carefully chosen conditions on the functionals: a metric  $k$ -current  $T \in \mathcal{D}_k(X)$  on a metric space  $X$  is a  $(k+1)$ -linear map  $T : \mathcal{D}^k(X) := \text{LIP}_c(X) \times \text{LIP}_\infty(X)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying continuity and locality conditions.

In this article we construct a pre-dual for the space of metric currents. Our strategy is to pass from  $(k+1)$ -tuples of Lipschitz functions to linearized and localized objects we call *polylipschitz forms*. Linearization of multilinear functionals naturally involves tensor products, and we use sheaf theoretic methods to carry out the localization.

Williams [17] and Schioppa [12] have given different constructions for pre-duals of metric currents. Their constructions are based on representation of currents of finite mass by duality using Cheeger differentiation and Alberti representations, respectively. Our motivation to consider polylipschitz forms stems from an application of metric currents to geometric mapping theory – polylipschitz forms induce a natural local pull-back for metric currents of finite mass under BLD-mappings. We discuss this application briefly in the end of the introduction and in more detail in [9].

**Polylipschitz forms and sections.** Polylipschitz forms are introduced in three steps: first polylipschitz functions and polylipschitz sections, then homogeneous polylipschitz functions, and finally polylipschitz forms. Before stating our results, we discuss the motivation for this hierarchy of spaces.

The space  $\text{Poly}^k(X)$  of  $k$ -polylipschitz functions on  $X$  is the projective tensor product of  $(k+1)$  copies of  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X)$ . The collection  $\{\text{Poly}^k(U)\}_U$ , where  $U$  ranges over open sets in  $X$ , forms a presheaf and gives rise to the étalé space of germs of polylipschitz functions. We denote  $\Gamma^k(X)$  the space of continuous sections over this étalé space and call its elements polylipschitz sections.

---

*Date:* October 9, 2019.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 49Q15, 53C23, 30L99.

*Key words and phrases.* Metric currents, differential forms on metric spaces, polylipschitz sheaves.

P.P. was supported in part by the Academy of Finland project #297258.

Although metric  $k$ -currents on  $X$  act naturally on compactly supported polylipschitz sections  $\Gamma_c^k(X)$  (see Theorem 9.1), these sections are not the natural counterpart for differential forms on  $X$ , since the dual  $\Gamma_c^k(X)^*$  contains functionals, which do not satisfy the locality condition for metric currents. Recall that in Euclidean spaces the  $(k+1)$ -tuple  $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \in \mathcal{D}^k(X)$  corresponds to the measurable differential form  $\pi_0 d\pi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\pi_k$ . The locality condition of Ambrosio and Kirchheim for metric currents states that  $T(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) = 0$  if  $\pi_l$  is constant on  $\text{spt } \pi_0$  for some  $l > 0$ , while the polylipschitz section corresponding to  $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k)$  need not be zero, cf. (7.1).

For this reason, we introduce *homogeneous polylipschitz functions*. These are elements of the projective tensor product  $\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X)$  of  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X)$  and  $k$  copies of  $\overline{\text{LIP}}_\infty(X)$ , the space of bounded Lipschitz functions modulo constants. A *polylipschitz form* is a continuous section over the étalé space  $\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X)$  associated to the presheaf  $\{\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U)\}_U$  and we denote the space of polylipschitz forms by  $\overline{\Gamma}^k(X)$ . The locality property of functionals in  $\overline{\Gamma}^k(X)^*$ , which motivated the homogeneous spaces, is discussed in Lemma 7.5.

We consider polylipschitz forms as differential forms in the metric setting, although we do not impose antisymmetry on polylipschitz forms. Note that, by an observation of Ambrosio and Kirchheim, the other properties imply the corresponding antisymmetry property for metric currents. In Section 9 we discuss how antisymmetry of polylipschitz forms can be imposed a posteriori.

The space  $\overline{\Gamma}_c^k(X)$  of compactly supported polylipschitz forms may be equipped with a notion of sequential convergence. There is a natural, sequentially continuous exterior derivative  $\bar{d} : \overline{\Gamma}_c^k(X) \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma}_c^{k+1}(X)$ , a pointwise norm  $\|\cdot\|_x$ , for  $x \in X$ , corresponding to the comass of a differential form, and a natural, sequentially continuous map

$$\bar{i} : \mathcal{D}^k(X) \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma}_c^k(X),$$

cf. (7.1).

Our first main result states that the space of metric  $k$ -currents  $\mathcal{D}_k(X)$  on  $X$  embeds bijectively into the sequentially continuous dual  $\overline{\Gamma}_c^k(X)^*$  of  $\overline{\Gamma}_c^k(X)$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact metric space and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $T \in \mathcal{D}_k(X)$  there exists a unique  $\widehat{T} \in \overline{\Gamma}_c^k(X)^*$  for which the diagram*

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}^k(X) & \xrightarrow{T} & \mathbb{R} \\ \bar{i} \downarrow & \nearrow \widehat{T} & \\ \overline{\Gamma}_c^k(X) & & \end{array}$$

*commutes. The map  $T \mapsto \widehat{T} : \mathcal{D}_k(X) \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma}_c^k(X)^*$  is a bijective and sequentially continuous linear map.*

*Moreover, for each  $T \in \mathcal{D}_k(X)$  and  $\omega \in \overline{\Gamma}_c^{k-1}(X)$ , we have*

$$(1.2) \quad \widehat{\partial T}(\omega) = \widehat{T}(\bar{d}\omega).$$

The sequential continuity of the map  $T \mapsto \widehat{T}$  is defined as follows: Suppose that a sequence  $(T_i)$  in  $\mathcal{D}_k(X)$  weakly converges to  $T \in \mathcal{D}_k(X)$ , that is, for each

$(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \in \mathcal{D}^k(X)$ , we have that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_i(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) = T(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k)$ . Then, for each  $\omega \in \bar{\Gamma}_c^k(X)$ , we have that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \hat{T}_i(\omega) = \hat{T}(\omega)$ .

**Remark 1.2.** A version of Theorem 1.1 for polylipschitz sections, shows that there is a natural sequentially continuous embedding  $\mathcal{D}_k(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_c^k(X)^*$ ; see Theorem 9.1. As already discussed, this embedding is, however, not a surjection.

The space  $\bar{\Gamma}_c^k(X)$  is a pre-dual to  $\mathcal{D}_k(X)$  in the sense of Theorem 1.1. In the other direction, we remark that De Pauw, Hardt and Pfeffer consider in [3] the dual of normal currents, whose elements are termed *charges*. We do not consider charges here and merely note that the bidual  $\bar{\Gamma}_c^k(X)^{**}$  does not coincide with the space of charges.

**Currents of locally finite mass and partition continuous polylipschitz forms.** The extension of a current of locally finite mass, provided by Theorem 1.1, satisfies the following natural estimate.

**Theorem 1.3.** For each  $T \in M_{k,\text{loc}}(X)$  we have

$$(1.3) \quad |\hat{T}(\omega)| \leq \int_X \|\omega\| d\|T\|$$

for every  $\omega \in \bar{\Gamma}_c^k(X)$ .

We refer to Definition 8.1 for the pointwise norm of a polylipschitz form. Currents of locally finite mass may further be extended, in the spirit of [5, Theorem 4.4], to the space  $\bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc},c}^k(X)$  of partition continuous polylipschitz forms, that is, the space of partition continuous sections of the sheaf  $\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X)$ ; we refer to Section 6 and Definition 8.2 for definitions and discussion.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $T \in M_{k,\text{loc}}(X)$  be a metric  $k$ -current of locally finite mass. Then there exists a unique sequentially continuous linear functional

$$\hat{T} : \bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc},c}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

satisfying  $\hat{T} \circ \iota = T$ . Furthermore, if  $T \in N_{k,\text{loc}}(X)$ , then

$$(1.4) \quad \widehat{\partial T}(\omega) = \hat{T}(\bar{d}\omega)$$

for each  $\omega \in \bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc},c}^{k-1}(X)$ .

Theorem 1.4 follows directly from Proposition 8.6 and Corollary 8.8, while Theorem 1.3 is implied by the more technical statement in Proposition 8.4. Note that, in Theorem 1.4, we do not claim that  $\bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc},c}^k(X)$  is a pre-dual of  $M_{k,\text{loc}}(X)$ .

**Motivation: Pull-back of metric currents by BLD-maps.** In [9] we apply the duality theory developed in this paper to a problem in geometric mapping theory. To avoid the added layer of abstraction involved in polylipschitz forms we formulate the results in [9] for polylipschitz sections, which are sufficient for our purposes. For this reason, in Section 9 we briefly discuss duality theory in connection with polylipschitz sections.

In the Ambrosio–Kirchheim theory a Lipschitz map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  induces a natural push-forward  $f_{\#} : M_k(X) \rightarrow M_k(Y)$ . In the classical setting of Euclidean spaces,

this push-forward is associated to the pull-back of differential forms under the mapping  $f$ . In [9], we consider BLD-mappings  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between metric generalized  $n$ -manifolds. A mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping of bounded length distortion (or *BLD* for short) if  $f$  is a discrete and open mapping for which there exists a constant  $L \geq 1$  satisfying

$$\frac{1}{L}\ell(\gamma) \leq \ell(f \circ \gamma) \leq L\ell(\gamma)$$

for all paths  $\gamma$  in  $X$ , where  $\ell(\cdot)$  is the length of a path. We refer to Martio–Väisälä [6] and Heinonen–Rickman [4] for detailed discussions on BLD-mappings between Euclidean and metric spaces, respectively.

For a BLD-mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between locally compact spaces, the polylipschitz sections admit a push-forward  $f_{\#}: \Gamma_c^k(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_{pc,c}^k(Y)$ , which in turn induces a natural pull-back  $f^*: M_{k,loc}(Y) \rightarrow M_{k,loc}(X)$  for metric currents. We refer to [9] for detailed statements and further applications.

**Acknowledgments** We thank Rami Luisto and Stefan Wenger for discussions on the topics of the manuscript.

## 2. SPACES OF LIPSCHITZ FUNCTIONS

We write  $A \lesssim_{\mathcal{C}} B$  if there is a constant  $c > 0$  depending only on the parameters in the collection  $\mathcal{C}$ , for which  $A \leq cB$ . We write  $A \simeq_{\mathcal{C}} B$  if  $A \lesssim_{\mathcal{C}} B \lesssim_{\mathcal{C}} A$ .

Let  $X$  be a metric space. We denote by  $B_r(x) \subset X$  the open ball of radius  $r > 0$  about  $x \in X$ . The closed ball of radius  $r > 0$  about  $x \in X$  is denoted by  $\bar{B}_r(x)$ .

**2.1. The spaces  $LIP_c$  and  $LIP_{\infty}$ .** Given a Lipschitz map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between metric spaces  $(X, d)$  and  $(Y, d')$ , we denote by

$$\text{Lip}(f) = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{d'(f(x), f(y))}{d(x, y)}$$

the *Lipschitz constant* of  $f$ . Further, for each  $x \in X$ , we denote

$$\text{Lip } f(x) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y, z \in B(x, r)} \frac{d'(f(y), f(z))}{d(z, y)}.$$

the *asymptotic* Lipschitz constant of  $f$  at  $x$ .

For Lipschitz functions  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we introduce the norms

$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)| \quad \text{and} \quad L(f) = \max\{\text{Lip}(f), \|f\|_{\infty}\}.$$

In what follows, we denote by  $LIP_{\infty}(X)$  the space of all *bounded* Lipschitz functions on  $X$ . Note that  $(LIP_{\infty}(X), L(\cdot))$  is a Banach space [15]. Given a compact set  $K \subset X$  we denote by  $LIP_K(X)$  the subspace of functions  $f \in LIP_{\infty}(X)$  satisfying  $\text{spt } \pi \subset K$ .

The subspace  $LIP_c(X) \subset LIP(X)$  consisting of *compactly supported* Lipschitz functions on  $X$  is the union

$$LIP_c(X) = \bigcup \{LIP_K(X) : K \subset X \text{ compact}\}.$$

For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we also denote by  $\mathcal{D}^k(X)$  the product space

$$\mathcal{D}^k(X) = LIP_c(X) \times LIP_{\infty}(X)^k.$$

**2.2. Homogeneous Lipschitz space.** We introduce now the homogeneous Lipschitz space  $\overline{\text{LIP}}_\infty(X)$ . The term homogeneous is taken from the theory of Sobolev spaces, where analogous homogeneous Sobolev spaces are defined.

Let  $\sim$  be the equivalence relation in  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X)$  for which  $f \sim f'$  if  $f - f'$  is a constant function. We denote the equivalence class of  $f \in \text{LIP}_\infty(X)$  by  $\bar{f}$  and give the quotient space  $\overline{\text{LIP}}_\infty(X) := \text{LIP}_\infty(X)/\sim$  the quotient norm

$$\bar{L}(\bar{f}) := \inf\{L(f - c) : c \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

The natural projection map

$$q = q_X : \text{LIP}_\infty(X) \rightarrow \overline{\text{LIP}}_\infty(X), \quad f \mapsto \bar{f},$$

is an open surjection satisfying

$$\bar{L}(\bar{f}) = \inf\{L(g) : q(g) = \bar{f}\}.$$

Note that, given a subset  $E \subset X$ , the restriction map

$$r_{E,X} : \text{LIP}_\infty(X) \rightarrow \text{LIP}_\infty(E), \quad f \mapsto f|_E,$$

descends to a quotient map

$$(2.1) \quad \bar{r}_{E,X} : \overline{\text{LIP}}_\infty(X) \rightarrow \overline{\text{LIP}}_\infty(E)$$

satisfying

$$(2.2) \quad q_E \circ r_{E,X} = \bar{r}_{E,X} \circ q_X.$$

This remark will be used later in Section 5.2.

**2.3. Sequential convergence.** Following Lang [5], we give the spaces  $\text{LIP}_c(X)$  and  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X)$  with the topology of weak converge. We recall the notion of convergence of sequences in  $\text{LIP}_c(X)$  and refer to [5] for the definition of the corresponding topology; see also Ambrosio–Kirchheim [1].

**Definition 2.1.** *A sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $\text{LIP}_c(X)$  converges weakly to a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in  $\text{LIP}_c(X)$ , denoted  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $\text{LIP}_c(X)$ , if*

- (1)  $\sup_n \text{Lip}(f_n) < \infty$ ,
- (2) *the set  $\bigcup_n \text{spt } f_n$  is pre-compact, and*
- (3)  *$f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

In [5] Lang defines a topology on a larger space  $\text{LIP}_{\text{loc}}(X)$ , the space of *locally Lipschitz* functions, containing  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X)$ . The weak convergence induced by this topology for sequences could be used for functions in  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X)$  as well. However  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X) \subset \text{LIP}_{\text{loc}}(X)$  is not a closed subspace in this topology and we find it is more convenient to modify the notion of convergence to suit the space  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X)$  better. This does not cause any significant issues in the subsequent discussions. The weak convergence of sequences in  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X)$  is defined as follows.

**Definition 2.2.** *A sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X)$  converges to a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X)$ , denoted  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X)$ , if*

- (1)  $\sup_n \text{Lip}(f_n) < \infty$ , and
- (2)  *$f_n|_K \rightarrow f|_K$  uniformly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for every compact set  $K \subset X$ .*

This notion of convergence for sequences arises from a topology in a similar manner as in [5]. Another description of this topology is given in [15, Theorems 2.1.5 and 1.7.2] in terms of the weak\* topology with respect to the Arens–Eells space, which is a predual of  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X)$ .

We equip the product space  $\mathcal{D}^k(X) = \text{LIP}_c(X) \times \text{LIP}_\infty(X)^k$  with the sequential convergence arising from the product topology of the factors  $\text{LIP}_c(X)$  and  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X)$ .

A sequence  $(\pi^n)$  of  $(k+1)$ -tuples  $\pi^n = (\pi_0^n, \dots, \pi_k^n) \in \mathcal{D}^k(X)$  converges to a  $(k+1)$ -tuple  $\pi = (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k)$  in  $\mathcal{D}^k(X)$  if and only if

- (1)  $\pi_0^n \rightarrow \pi_0$  in  $\text{LIP}_c(X)$  and
- (2)  $\pi_l^n \rightarrow \pi_l$  in  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X)$ , for each  $l = 1, \dots, k$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We finish this section by defining the sequential continuity of multilinear functionals on  $\mathcal{D}^k(X)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** *A multilinear functional  $T: \mathcal{D}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is sequentially continuous if, for each sequence  $(\pi^n)$  converging to  $\pi$  in  $\mathcal{D}^k(X)$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(\pi^n) = T(\pi).$$

### 3. METRIC CURRENTS

Let  $X$  be a locally compact metric space. A sequentially continuous multilinear functional  $T: \mathcal{D}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a *metric  $k$ -current* if it satisfies the following locality condition:

for each  $\pi_0 \in \text{LIP}_c(X)$  and  $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k \in \text{LIP}_\infty(X)$  having the property that one of the functions  $\pi_i$  is constant in a neighborhood of  $\text{spt } \pi_0$ , we have  $T(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) = 0$ .

By [5, (2.5)] the assertion in the locality condition holds if one of the  $\pi_i$ 's,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , is constant on  $\text{spt } \pi_0$ , i.e. no neighborhood is needed in the locality condition. We denote by  $\mathcal{D}_k(X)$  the vector space of  $k$ -currents on  $X$ .

**Remark 3.1.** *In [5] metric currents are defined as weakly continuous  $(k+1)$ -linear functionals on  $\text{LIP}_c(X) \times \text{LIP}_{\text{loc}}(X)^k$ . The present notion however coincides with this class, see [5, Lemma 2.2].*

**Definition 3.2.** *A sequence of  $k$ -currents  $T_j: \mathcal{D}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on  $X$  converges weakly to a  $k$ -current  $T: \mathcal{D}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in  $\mathcal{D}_k(X)$ , denoted  $T_j \rightarrow T$  in  $\mathcal{D}^k(X)$ , if, for each  $\pi \in \mathcal{D}^k(X)$ ,*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} T_j(\pi) = T(\pi).$$

The locality condition implies that the value  $T(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k)$  of a current  $T \in \mathcal{D}_k(X)$  at  $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \in \mathcal{D}^k(X)$  only depends on the restriction  $\pi_0|_K \in \text{LIP}_\infty(K)$  and the equivalence classes of the restrictions  $\overline{\pi_1|_K}, \dots, \overline{\pi_k|_K} \in \overline{\text{LIP}}_\infty(K)$ , where  $K = \text{spt } \pi_0$ .

Given a compact set  $K \subset X$ , we define  $T: \text{LIP}_K(X) \times \text{LIP}_\infty(K)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$T(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) := T(\pi_0, \widetilde{\pi_1}, \dots, \widetilde{\pi_k})$$

for any Lipschitz extensions  $\widetilde{\pi_i} \in \text{LIP}_\infty(X)$  of  $\pi_i \in \text{LIP}_\infty(K)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . This yields a  $(k+1)$ -linear sequentially continuous functional. More precisely, there

exists  $C > 0$  for which

$$(3.1) \quad |T(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k)| \leq CL(\pi_0|_K)L(\pi_1) \cdots L(\pi_k)$$

for all  $\pi_0 \in \text{LIP}_K(X)$ ,  $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k \in \text{LIP}_\infty(K)$ .

**3.1. Mass of a current.** A  $k$ -current  $T: \mathcal{D}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has *locally finite mass* if there is a Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  satisfying

$$(3.2) \quad |T(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k)| \leq \text{Lip}(\pi_1) \cdots \text{Lip}(\pi_k) \int_X |\pi_0| d\mu$$

for each  $\pi = (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \in \mathcal{D}^k(X)$ .

For a  $k$ -current  $T$  of locally finite mass, there exists a measure  $\|T\|$  of minimal total variation satisfying (3.2); see Lang [5, Theorem 4.3]. The measure  $\|T\|$  is the *mass measure of  $T$* . If  $\|T\|(X) < \infty$ , we say  $T$  has *finite mass*. We denote by  $M_{k,\text{loc}}(X)$  and  $M_k(X)$  the spaces of  $k$ -currents of locally finite mass and of finite mass, respectively.

The map  $\mathcal{D}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X)$ ,  $T \mapsto \|T\|$ , is lower semicontinuous with respect to weak convergence, that is, if the sequence  $(T_j)$  in  $M_{k,\text{loc}}(X)$  weakly converges to  $T \in M_{k,\text{loc}}(X)$  then

$$\|T\|(U) \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|T_j\|(U)$$

for each open set  $U \subset X$ . Note that,

$$\text{spt } T = \text{spt } \|T\|.$$

We refer to Lang [5] for these results.

A current  $T: \mathcal{D}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of locally finite mass admits a weakly continuous extension

$$T: \mathcal{B}_c^\infty(X) \times \text{LIP}_\infty(X)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

satisfying (3.2); see Lang [5, Theorem 4.4]. Here  $\mathcal{B}_c^\infty(X)$  denotes the space of compactly supported and bounded Borel functions on  $X$ ; here the convergence of functions in  $\mathcal{B}_c^\infty(X)$  is the pointwise convergence. Inequality (3.2) holds also for this extension. We record this as a lemma.

**Lemma 3.3** ([5, Theorem 4.4]). *Let  $T \in M_{k,\text{loc}}(X)$  be a  $k$ -current of locally finite mass. Then*

$$|T(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k)| \leq \text{Lip}(\pi_1|_E) \cdots \text{Lip}(\pi_k|_E) \int_E |\pi_0| d\|T\|$$

for each  $(k+1)$ -tuple  $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \in \mathcal{B}_c^\infty(X) \times \text{LIP}(X)^k$  and Borel set  $E \supset \{\pi_0 \neq 0\}$

**3.2. Normal currents.** For the definition of a normal current, we first define the boundary operators

$$\partial = \partial_k: \mathcal{D}_k(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{k-1}(X)$$

for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For this reason, we set  $\mathcal{D}_k(X) = 0$  for  $k < 0$  and  $\partial_k = 0$  for  $k \leq 0$ .

For  $k \geq 1$ , the *boundary*  $\partial T: \mathcal{D}^{k-1}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of  $T$  is the  $(k-1)$ -current defined by

$$\partial T(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{k-1}) = T(f, \pi_0, \dots, \pi_{k-1}),$$

for  $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \in \mathcal{D}^k(X)$ , where  $f \in \text{LIP}_c(X)$  is any Lipschitz function with compact support satisfying

$$f|_{\text{spt } \pi_0} \equiv 1.$$

The current  $\partial T$  is well-defined, see [5, Definition 3.4].



As a consequence of the locality of currents, we have that

$$\partial_{k-1} \circ \partial_k \equiv 0: \mathcal{D}_k(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{k-2}(X)$$

for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , see the discussion following [5, Definition 3.4].

**Definition 3.4.** A  $k$ -current  $T \in M_{k,\text{loc}}(X)$  is locally normal if  $\partial T \in M_{k-1,\text{loc}}(X)$ . A  $k$ -current  $T \in M_k(X)$  is normal if  $\partial T \in M_{k-1}(X)$ .

We denote by  $N_{k,\text{loc}}(X) \subset M_{k,\text{loc}}(X)$  and  $N_k(X) \subset M_k(X)$  the subspaces of locally normal  $k$ -currents and normal  $k$ -currents on  $X$ , respectively. Note that the space  $N_0(X)$  of normal 0-currents coincides with the space  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  of all finite signed Radon measures on  $X$ .

**Remark 3.5.** By the lower semicontinuity of mass, if a bounded sequence  $(T_j)$  in  $N_k(X)$  weakly converges to a  $k$ -current  $T \in M_{k,\text{loc}}(X)$ , then  $T \in N_k(X)$ .

#### 4. POLYLIPSCHITZ FUNCTIONS AND THEIR HOMOGENEOUS COUNTERPARTS

In this section we develop the notion polylipschitz functions and their homogeneous counterparts. In the sequel we occasionally refer to (homogeneous) polylipschitz forms and functions defined on Borel subsets of a locally compact space. Since these subsets are not necessarily locally compact, and since the treatment remains essentially the same, we formulate all notions in this section for arbitrary metric spaces.

**Algebraic and projective tensor product.** For the material on the tensor product, projective norm and projective tensor product, we refer to [10, Sections 1 and 2].

Let  $(V_1, \|\cdot\|_1), \dots, (V_k, \|\cdot\|_k)$  be Banach spaces. We denote the *algebraic tensor product* of  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  by  $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_k$ . There is a natural  $k$ -linear map

$$j: V_1 \times \cdots \times V_k \rightarrow V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_k, \quad (v_1, \dots, v_k) \rightarrow v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k.$$

The *projective norm* of  $v \in V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_k$  is

$$(4.1) \quad \pi(v) = \inf \left\{ \sum_j^n \|v_1^j\|_1 \cdots \|v_k^j\|_k : v = \sum_j^n v_1^j \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k^j \right\}.$$

The projective norm is a *cross norm* [10, Proposition 2.1], that is, for  $v_l \in V_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, k$ , we have

$$(4.2) \quad \pi(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k) = \|v_1\|_1 \cdots \|v_k\|_k.$$

Note that by (4.2) the canonical  $k$ -linear map  $j$  is continuous; see [10, Theorem 2.9].

The normed vector space  $(V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_k, \pi)$  is typically not complete. Its completion

$$V_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi V_k$$

is called the *projective tensor product*. We denote by  $\hat{\pi}: V_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi V_k \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  the norm on the completion  $V_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi V_k$  of  $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_k$ .

It should be noted that the projective norm is one of many possible norms on the algebraic tensor product, each giving rise to a completion. In general these completions are not isomorphic and there is no canonical completion. However the projective tensor product has the following *universal property* which characterizes it

up to isometric isomorphism in the category of Banach spaces: Let  $B$  be a Banach space and

$$A : V_1 \times \cdots \times V_k \rightarrow B$$

a continuous  $k$ -linear map. Then there exists a unique *continuous linear map*

$$\bar{A} : V_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi V_k \rightarrow B$$

for which the diagram

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V_1 \times \cdots \times V_k & \xrightarrow{A} & B \\ \downarrow j & \nearrow \bar{A} & \\ V_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi V_k & & \end{array}$$

commutes.

Heuristically, the elements of  $V_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi V_k$  can be viewed as series or as summable sequences. More precisely, we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.1.** [10, Proposition 2.8] *Let  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  be Banach spaces, and let  $v \in V_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi V_k$ . Then there is a sequence  $(v_1^j, \dots, v_k^j)_j$  in  $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_k$  for which*

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_j \|v_1^j\|_1 \cdots \|v_k^j\|_k < \infty$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\pi}(v - \sum_j^n v_1^j \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k^j) = 0.$$

**4.1. Poly Lipschitz functions.** We define in this section poly Lipschitz functions and consider their representations. The counterpart of this discussion for homogeneous poly Lipschitz functions is postponed to Section 4.2.

**Definition 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . A  $k$ -poly Lipschitz function on  $X$  is an element in the  $(k+1)$ -fold projective tensor product*

$$\text{Poly}^k(X) := \text{LIP}_\infty(X) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi \text{LIP}_\infty(X).$$

We denote by  $L_k(\cdot)$  the projective tensor norm on  $\text{Poly}^k(X)$ .

Given  $\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k \in \text{LIP}_\infty(X)$  the tensor product  $\pi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_k \in \text{Poly}^k(X)$  may be identified with the function in  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X^{k+1})$  given by

$$(x_0, \dots, x_k) \mapsto \pi_0(x_0) \cdots \pi_k(x_k).$$

Indeed, if  $\Phi_X : \text{LIP}_\infty(X)^{k+1} \rightarrow \text{LIP}_\infty(X^{k+1})$  is the continuous  $(k+1)$ -linear map given by

$$\Phi_X(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k)(x_0, \dots, x_k) := \pi_0(x_0) \cdots \pi_k(x_k), \quad (x_0, \dots, x_k) \in X^{k+1},$$

the algebraic tensor product  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X) \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{LIP}_\infty(X)$  may be identified with the linear span of  $\Phi_X(\text{LIP}_\infty(X)^{k+1})$  and the unique continuous linear map  $\bar{\Phi}_X : \text{Poly}^k(X) \rightarrow \text{LIP}_\infty(X^{k+1})$  making the diagram (4.3) commute is injective.

Thus, we may regard a poly Lipschitz function  $\pi \in \text{Poly}^k(X)$  as a function  $\pi : X^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for which there exists a sequence  $(\pi_0^j, \dots, \pi_k^j)_j$  in  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X)^{k+1}$  satisfying

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_j L(\pi_0^j) \cdots L(\pi_k^j) < \infty$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad \pi = \sum_j \pi_0^j \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_k^j$$

pointwise. That is, we may identify  $\text{Poly}^k(X)$  with  $\overline{\Phi}_X(\text{Poly}^k(X)) \subset \text{LIP}_\infty(X^{k+1})$  as sets.

**Definition 4.3.** For  $\pi \in \text{Poly}^k(X)$ , any sequence  $(\pi_0^j, \dots, \pi_k^j)_j$  in  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X)^{k+1}$  satisfying (4.6) and (4.7) – or, equivalently (4.4) and (4.5) – is said to represent  $\pi$ . We denote the collection of such sequences by  $\text{Rep}(\pi)$ .

Conversely, if a sequence  $(\pi_0^j, \dots, \pi_k^j)$  in  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X)^{k+1}$  satisfies (4.6) it represents a poly Lipschitz function.

We denote by

$$(4.8) \quad j = j_X^k : \text{LIP}_\infty(X)^{k+1} \rightarrow \text{Poly}^k(X), \quad (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \mapsto \pi_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_k$$

the natural  $(k+1)$ -linear bounded map, cf. (4.3).

For metric spaces the standard McShane extension for Lipschitz functions yields immediately an extension also for poly Lipschitz functions. We record this as a lemma.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $X$  be a metric space,  $E \subset X$  a subset, and let  $\pi \in \text{Poly}^k(E)$ . Then there exists a  $k$ -poly Lipschitz function  $\tilde{\pi} \in \text{Poly}^k(X)$  extending  $\pi$  and satisfying  $L_k(\tilde{\pi}) = L_k(\pi)$ .

More precisely, if  $(\pi_0^j, \dots, \pi_k^j)_j$  is a representation of  $\pi$  and  $\tilde{\pi}_l^j \in \text{LIP}_\infty(X)$  is an extension of  $\pi_l^j$  satisfying  $L(\tilde{\pi}_l^j) = L(\pi_l^j)$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $l = 0, \dots, k$ , then the sequence  $(\tilde{\pi}_0^j, \dots, \tilde{\pi}_k^j)_j \subset \text{LIP}_\infty(X)^{k+1}$  represents a poly Lipschitz function  $\tilde{\pi} \in \text{Poly}^k(X)$  for which  $L_k(\tilde{\pi}) = L_k(\pi)$ .

Thus poly Lipschitz functions defined on a subset  $E \subset X$  can always be extended to poly Lipschitz functions on  $X$  preserving the poly Lipschitz norm.

#### 4.2. Homogeneous poly Lipschitz functions.

**Definition 4.5.** A homogeneous  $k$ -poly Lipschitz function is an element in

$$\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X) := \text{LIP}_\infty(X) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \overline{\text{LIP}}_\infty(X) \hat{\otimes}_\pi \cdots \hat{\otimes}_\pi \overline{\text{LIP}}_\infty(X),$$

where  $\overline{\text{LIP}}_\infty(X)$  appears  $k$  times in the tensor product. We denote by  $\overline{L}_k(\cdot)$  the projective tensor norm on  $\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X)$ .

Denote by

$$(4.9) \quad \bar{j} = \bar{j}_X^k : \text{LIP}_\infty(X)^{k+1} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X), \quad \bar{j} := j \circ Q$$

the natural bounded  $(k+1)$ -linear map.

The natural quotient map  $q : \text{LIP}_\infty(X) \rightarrow \overline{\text{LIP}}_\infty(X)$  induces a quotient map

$$(4.10) \quad Q = Q_X^k := \text{id} \otimes_\pi q \otimes_\pi \cdots \otimes_\pi q : \text{Poly}^k(X) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X),$$

that is,  $\bar{q} : \text{Poly}^k(X) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X)$  is an open surjection and

$$\overline{L}_k(\bar{\pi}) = \inf \{ L_k(\pi) : Q(\pi) = \bar{\pi} \} \quad \text{for each } \bar{\pi} \in \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X),$$

cf. [10, Proposition 2.5].

**4.3. Convergence of polylipschitz and homogeneous polylipschitz functions.** In this subsection, we define a notion of convergence of sequences on  $\text{Poly}^k(X)$  and  $\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X)$ . These notions correspond to the weak- $*$  convergence in  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X)$ ; see Section 2.1. We give the necessary notions of convergence in two separate definitions.

**Definition 4.6.** A sequence  $(\pi^n)$  in  $\text{Poly}^k(X)$  converges to  $\pi \in \text{Poly}^k(X)$  if, for all compact sets  $V := V_0 \times \cdots \times V_k \subset X^{k+1}$  there exists representations

$$(\pi_0^{n,j}, \dots, \pi_k^{j,n}) \in \text{Rep}(\pi^n - \pi)$$

for which

- (1)  $\sum_j \sup_n L(\pi_0^{j,n}) \cdots L(\pi_k^{j,n}) < \infty$ , and
- (2)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_j \|\pi_0^{j,n}|_{V_0}\|_\infty \cdots \|\pi_k^{j,n}|_{V_k}\|_\infty = 0$ .

**Definition 4.7.** A sequence  $(\bar{\pi}^n)$  in  $\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X)$  converges to  $\bar{\pi} \in \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X)$  if there are polylipschitz functions  $\pi \in Q^{-1}(\bar{\pi})$  and  $\pi^n \in Q^{-1}(\bar{\pi}^n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that  $\pi^n \rightarrow \pi$  in  $\text{Poly}^k(X)$ .

**Remark 4.8.** It follows immediately from Definitions 4.6 and 4.7 that the natural maps  $j : \text{LIP}_\infty(X)^{k+1} \rightarrow \text{Poly}^k(X)$  and  $\bar{j} : \text{LIP}_\infty(X)^{k+1} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X)$  in (4.8) and (4.9) are sequentially continuous.

Before moving to polylipschitz forms, we record a notion of locality for linear maps in  $\text{LIP}_\infty(X)^{k+1}$  and record some of its consequences.

**Definition 4.9.** A  $(k+1)$ -linear map  $A : \text{LIP}_\infty(X)^{k+1} \rightarrow V$  is local if, for a  $(k+1)$ -tuple  $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \in \text{LIP}_\infty(X)^{k+1}$ , holds

$$(4.11) \quad A(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) = 0$$

whenever one of the functions  $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k$  is constant.

**Proposition 4.10.** Let  $V$  be a Banach space and let  $A : \text{LIP}_\infty(X)^{k+1} \rightarrow V$  be a bounded and local  $(k+1)$ -linear map. Then

- (1)  $A$  descends to a (unique) bounded  $(k+1)$ -linear map  $A' : \text{LIP}_\infty(X) \times \overline{\text{LIP}}_\infty(X)^k \rightarrow V$ ,
- (2) the unique bounded linear maps  $\bar{A} : \text{Poly}^k(X) \rightarrow V$  and  $\bar{A}' : \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X) \rightarrow V$  satisfying  $A = \bar{A} \circ j$  and  $A' = \bar{A}' \circ (Q \circ j)$ , respectively, satisfy  $\bar{A} = \bar{A}' \circ Q$ , and
- (3) if  $\bar{A}$  is sequentially continuous (in the sense of Definition 4.6) then  $\bar{A}'$  sequentially continuous (in the sense of Definition 4.7).

*Proof.* It is clear that, since  $A$  is local, it descends to a unique bounded multilinear map  $A' : \text{LIP}_\infty(X) \times \overline{\text{LIP}}_\infty(X)^k \rightarrow V$  satisfying  $A = A' \circ (\text{id} \times q_X \times \cdots \times q_X)$ . Note that

$$Q = \overline{\text{id} \times q_X \times \cdots \times q_X}.$$

It follows from the uniqueness of the diagram (4.3) that

$$\bar{A} = \bar{A}' \circ (\text{id} \times q_X \times \cdots \times q_X) = \bar{A}' \circ Q.$$

Suppose  $\overline{A}$  is sequentially continuous and let  $\bar{\pi}^n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X)$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we fix  $\pi^n \in \text{Poly}^k(X)$  so that  $Q(\pi^n) = \bar{\pi}^n$  and that the sequence  $(\pi^n)$  converges to  $0 \in Q^{-1}(0)$  in  $\text{Poly}^k(X)$ . Then  $\overline{A}(\pi^n) \in Q^{-1}(\overline{A}'(\bar{\pi}^n))$  and  $\overline{A}(\pi^n) \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $\overline{A}'(\bar{\pi}^n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X)$ . Since  $\overline{A}'$  is linear this proves the sequential continuity of  $\overline{A}'$ .  $\square$

## 5. POLYLIPSCHITZ FORMS AND SECTIONS

Since we consider presheaves of polylipschitz functions and homogeneous polylipschitz functions and their étalé spaces, we discuss the related terminology first in more general. We refer to [14, Section 5.6] and [16, Chapter II] for a more detailed discussion.

**5.1. Presheaves and étalé spaces.** Let  $X$  be a paracompact Hausdorff space. A *presheaf*  $P$  on  $X$  is a collection  $\{A(U)\}_U$  of vector spaces (over  $\mathbb{R}$ ) for each open set  $U \subset X$  and, for each inclusion  $U \subset V$ , a linear map  $\rho_{U,V} : A(V) \rightarrow A(U)$  satisfying  $\rho_{U,U} = \text{id}$  and

$$(5.1) \quad \rho_{U,V} = \rho_{U,W} \circ \rho_{W,V}$$

whenever  $U \subset W \subset V$ .

Given two presheaves  $\{A(U)\}$  and  $\{B(U)\}$  on  $X$ , a collection

$$\{\varphi_U : B(U) \rightarrow A(U)\}$$

of linear maps satisfying

$$(5.2) \quad \varphi_U \circ \rho_{U,V}^B = \rho_{U,V}^A \circ \varphi_V, \quad U \subset V$$

is called a *presheaf homomorphism*.

Given an open set  $U \subset X$ , the *support* of  $f \in A(U)$ , denoted  $\text{spt}(f)$ , is the intersection of all closed sets  $F \subset U$  with the property that  $\rho_{U \setminus F, U}(f) = 0$ .

*Fine presheaves.* A presheaf  $\{A(U)\}$  on  $X$  is called *fine* if every open cover of  $X$  admits a locally finite open refinement  $\mathcal{U}$  and, for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , there is a presheaf homomorphism

$$\{(L_U)_W : A(W) \rightarrow A(W)\}$$

with the following properties:

- (a)  $\text{spt}(L_U)_W(f) \subset U \cap W$  for every  $f \in A(W)$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,
- (b) every point  $x \in X$  has a neighborhood  $D \subset X$  for which  $D \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for only finitely many  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and

$$\sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \rho_{D,W} \circ (L_U)_W = \rho_{D,W}.$$

whenever  $D \subset W$ .

Note that by (a) and the assumption on  $D$ , the sum in (b) has only finitely many non-zero terms.

*Space of germs and its sections.* Let  $x \in X$  and  $U$  and  $V$  be open neighborhoods of  $x$ . Two elements  $f \in A(U)$  and  $g \in A(V)$  are equivalent if there exists an open neighborhood  $D \subset U \cap V$  of  $x$  so that

$$\rho_{D,U}(f) = \rho_{D,V}(g).$$

This defines an equivalence relation on the disjoint union  $\bigsqcup_U A(U)$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  the set of equivalence classes and say that  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  is the space of germs for the presheaf  $P$ .

Given  $x \in X$ , an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  and  $f \in A(U)$  we denote by  $[f]_x \in \mathcal{A}(X)$  the equivalence class of  $f$  and call it the *germ of  $f$  at  $x$* . There is a natural projection map

$$(5.3) \quad p : \mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow X, \quad [f]_x \mapsto x$$

and the fibers  $p^{-1}(x) =: \mathcal{A}_x(X)$  are called *stalks of  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  over  $x$* . The stalk  $\mathcal{A}_x(X)$  has a natural addition and scalar multiplication, making it a vector space (see [14, Section 5.6]).

If  $U \subset X$  is an open set, a map  $\omega : U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(X)$  satisfying

$$p \circ \omega = \text{id}$$

is called a *section of  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  over  $U$*  and the space of all sections of  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  over  $U$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{G}(U; \mathcal{A}(X))$ . Note that  $\mathcal{G}(U; \mathcal{A}(X))$  has a natural vector space structure given by pointwise addition and scalar multiplication. We abbreviate  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}(X)) = \mathcal{G}(X; \mathcal{A}(X))$  and call elements of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}(X))$  *global sections of  $\mathcal{A}(X)$* .

*Étalé space.* There is a natural *étalé topology* on  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  so that the projection map (5.3) is a local homeomorphism.

The étalé topology has a basis of open sets of the form

$$O_{U,f} = \{[f]_x : x \in U\}$$

for  $U \subset X$  open and  $f \in A(U)$ , cf. [14, Section 5.6]. We call  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  equipped with this topology the *étalé space* associated to the presheaf  $P$ .

If  $\omega \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}(X))$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of  $X$ , a collection  $\{f_U \in A(U)\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  is called *compatible with  $\omega$*  if, for every  $x \in X$ , there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  so that  $\omega(x) = [f_U]_x$ . Note that

$$\omega^{-1}O_{U,f_U} = \{x \in U : \omega(x) = [f_U]_x\} \subset U$$

forms a cover of  $X$  which is a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . When  $\omega$  is continuous, the open cover  $\mathcal{V} = \{\omega^{-1}O_{U,f_U}\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  and the collection  $g_V := \rho_{V,U}(f_U)$ , where  $V = \omega^{-1}O_{U,f_U}$ , is compatible with  $\omega$  and furthermore

$$(5.4) \quad [g_V]_x = \omega(x) = [g_W]_x \text{ whenever } V, W \in \mathcal{V} \text{ and } x \in V \cap W.$$

We say that a collection  $\{f_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  *represents* a continuous section  $\omega \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}(X))$ , if it satisfies (5.4).

Fine presheaves with a mild additional assumption admit a stronger form of (5.4), called the *overlap condition*, for collections representing continuous sections, which we record as the following lemma. This will be used in Section 7 to define the action of a current on polylipschitz forms.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\{A(U)\}$  be a fine presheaf and suppose that the linear maps  $\rho_{U,X}$  are onto for each open  $U \subset X$ .*

*If  $\omega \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}(X))$  is continuous and the collection  $\{f_U \in A(U)\}_{\mathcal{U}}$  is compatible with  $\omega$ , there exists a locally finite refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  and a collection  $\{g_V \in A(V)\}_{\mathcal{V}}$  satisfying the overlap condition*

$$(5.5) \quad \rho_{V \cap W, V}(f_V) = \rho_{V \cap W, W}(f_W), \quad \text{whenever } V, W \in \mathcal{V} \text{ and } V \cap W \neq \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* The sets  $\omega^{-1}O_{U, f_U} \subset X$  ( $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ) are open and, since  $\{f_U\}_{\mathcal{U}}$  is compatible with  $\omega$ , cover  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a locally finite refinement of  $\{\omega^{-1}O_{U, f_U}\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  and  $\{(L_W)_U : A(U) \rightarrow A(U)\}_U$  ( $W \in \mathcal{W}$ ) be as in the definition of fine sheaves. We denote  $L_W := (L_W)_X$ . For every  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  choose  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $W \subset \omega^{-1}O_{U, f_U} \subset U$  and let  $h_W \in A(X)$  be such that

$$\rho_{W, X}(h_W) = \rho_{W, U}(f_U).$$

For each  $x \in X$ , let  $D_x$  be a neighborhood of  $x$  satisfying (b) in the same definition. Set

$$g_x := \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}} \rho_{D_x, X} \circ L_W(h_W) \in A(D_x).$$

The collection  $\{g_x \in A(D_x)\}_{x \in X}$  now satisfies (5.5). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{D_x \cap D_y, D_x}(g_x) &= \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}} \rho_{D_x \cap D_y, X}(L_W(h_W)) \\ &= \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}} \rho_{D_x \cap D_y, D_y}(\rho_{D_y, X} \circ L_W(h_W)) \\ &= \rho_{D_x \cap D_y, D_y}(g_y). \end{aligned}$$

We pass to a locally finite refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\{D_x\}_{x \in X}$  and set, for any  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ ,

$$g_V = \rho_{V, D_x}(g_x)$$

whenever  $V \subset D_x$ . Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{U \cap V, U}(g_U) &= \rho_{U \cap V, D_x \cap D_y}(\rho_{D_x \cap D_y, D_x}(g_x)) \\ &= \rho_{U \cap V, D_x \cap D_y}(\rho_{D_x \cap D_y, D_y}(g_y)) = \rho_{U \cap V, V}(g_V) \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $U, V \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $U \subset D_x, V \subset D_y$ .

It remains to show that  $\{g_V\}_{\mathcal{V}}$  is compatible with  $\omega$ . Indeed, for  $x \in X$  and  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  a neighborhood of  $x$ , we have  $V \subset D_y \subset \omega^{-1}O_{U, f_U} \subset U$  for some  $D_y$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} g_V &= \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}} \rho_{V, X}(L_W(h_W)) = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}} (L_W)_V(\rho_{V, X}(h_W)) \\ &= \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}} (L_W)_V(\rho_{V, U}(f_U)) = \rho_{V, U}(f_U), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $[g_V]_x = [\rho_{V, U}(f_U)]_x = [f_U]_x = \omega(x)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 5.2.** *Let  $\omega \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}(X))$  be continuous. If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a locally finite open cover,  $\{f_U\}_{\mathcal{U}}$  is compatible with  $\omega$  and satisfies the overlap condition (5.5), we say that  $\{f_U\}_{\mathcal{U}}$  is overlap-compatible with  $\omega$ .*

**Remark 5.3.** Representations  $\{f_U\}_U$  of continuous sections  $\omega \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}(X))$  are stable under passing to refinements. Indeed, if  $\mathcal{D}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  and we set

$$f'_V = \rho_{V,W}(f_W),$$

for  $V \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $V \subset W \in \mathcal{U}$ , the collection  $\{f'_V\}_{V \in \mathcal{D}}$  again represents  $\omega$ . The same holds true for the overlap condition (5.5).

Thus we may always assume that the underlying cover in a representation of  $\omega$  is locally finite consists of precompact sets if  $X$  is locally compact.

The vector space of continuous sections over  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  is denoted  $\Gamma(\mathcal{A}(X))$ . We remark that there is a canonical linear map

$$(5.6) \quad \gamma : \mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{A}(X)), \quad f \mapsto (x \mapsto [f]_x).$$

*Support.* Let  $\{A(U); \rho_{U,V}\}_U$  be a presheaf on  $X$  and  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  the associated étalé space. For  $\omega \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}(X))$ , we define

$$\text{spt } \omega = \overline{\{x \in X : \omega(x) \neq 0\}}.$$

We say that the section  $\omega \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}(X))$  has *compact support* if  $\text{spt } \omega$  is compact. We denote by  $\mathcal{G}_c(\mathcal{A}(X))$  the vector space of compactly supported (global) sections of  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  and  $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{A}(X)) = \Gamma(\mathcal{A}(X)) \cap \mathcal{G}_c(\mathcal{A}(X))$ .

**5.2. Poly Lipschitz forms and sections.** We move now the discussion from abstract presheaves to presheaves of poly Lipschitz and homogeneous poly Lipschitz functions. Let  $X$  be a locally compact metric space and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Recall the notation introduced in Section 2.2. We consider two presheaves, namely the collections  $\{\text{Poly}^k(U)\}_U$  and  $\{\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U)\}_U$  together with the restriction maps

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{U,V} : \text{Poly}^k(V) &\rightarrow \text{Poly}^k(U), \quad \rho_{U,V} = r_{U,V}^{\otimes \pi(k+1)}, \\ \bar{\rho}_{U,V} : \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(V) &\rightarrow \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U), \quad \bar{\rho}_{U,V} := r_{U,V} \otimes_{\pi} \bar{r}_{U,V}^{\otimes \pi k} \end{aligned}$$

for inclusions  $U \subset V$ . Note that under the identification described in Section 4.1 the map  $\rho_{U,V}$  is simply the restriction map  $\pi \mapsto \pi|_{U^{k+1}}$ . It is not difficult to see (using the corresponding facts for  $r_{U,V}$  and  $\bar{r}_{U,V}$ ) that  $\rho_{U,V}$  and  $\bar{\rho}_{U,V}$  satisfy (5.1) for  $U \subset W \subset V$ . For the purposes of Section 6, we note that this property remains true for the quotient maps  $\rho_{U,V}$  and  $\bar{\rho}_{U,V}$  for *any* sets  $U \subset V \subset X$ , in particular also for sets which are not open.

The overlap condition (5.5) for poly Lipschitz forms and sections is crucial for defining the action of currents on them. The next proposition establishes this by showing that the presheaves  $\{\text{Poly}^k(U)\}$  and  $\{\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U)\}$  are fine.

**Proposition 5.4.** *The presheaves  $\{\text{Poly}^k(U)\}$  and  $\{\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U)\}$  are fine, and the maps  $\rho_{U,X}$  and  $\bar{\rho}_{U,X}$  are onto.*

*Proof.* The last claim is immediate since  $\rho_{U,X}$  and  $\bar{\rho}_{U,X}$  are quotient maps. Since  $X$  is locally compact, any open cover of  $X$  admits a locally finite precompact refinement  $\mathcal{U}$ . Let  $\{\varphi_U\}_U$  be a Lipschitz partition of unity subordinate to  $\mathcal{U}$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $W \subset X$  open, consider the bounded  $(k+1)$ -linear maps

$$(L_U)_W : \text{LIP}_{\infty}(W)^{k+1} \rightarrow \text{Poly}^k(W), \quad (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \mapsto \mathcal{I}_W(\varphi_U \pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_k).$$

The bounded linear maps  $\overline{(L_U)}_W : \text{Poly}^k(W) \rightarrow \text{Poly}^k(W)$  making the diagram (4.3) commute form a presheaf homomorphism of  $\{\text{Poly}^k(W)\}$ . Since  $\text{spt } \varphi_U \subset U$



it follows that  $\text{spt}(\overline{L_U})_W(\pi) \subset U \cap W$  for any  $\pi \in \text{Poly}^k(W)$ . This shows (a) in the definition of fine presheaves.

Let  $x \in X$  and  $D$  be a neighborhood of  $x$  meeting only finitely many of the sets in  $\mathcal{U}$ . The fact that  $\{\varphi_U\}$  is a partition of unity implies that for any  $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \in \text{LIP}_\infty(W)^{k+1}$

$$\sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} (L_U)_D(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) = J_D \left( \left( \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \varphi_U \right) \pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_k \right) = J_D(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k).$$

This implies (b) in the definition of fine presheaves.

Since the bounded  $(k+1)$ -linear maps  $Q_W \circ (L_U)_W : \text{LIP}_\infty(W)^{k+1} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(W)$  satisfy (4.11) we obtain maps  $\overline{(L_U)}'_W : \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(W) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(W)$  by Proposition 4.10, for each open  $W \subset X$ , that form a presheaf homomorphism. Condition (a) now follows from the corresponding statement for  $\text{Poly}^k(W)$  and (5.8). Condition (b) follows as above.  $\square$

We denote by  $\mathcal{Poly}^k(X)$  and  $\mathcal{Poly}_x^k(X)$  (respectively,  $\overline{\mathcal{Poly}}^k(X)$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{Poly}}_x^k(X)$ ) the étalé space and stalk at  $x$  associated to  $\{\text{Poly}^k(U)\}_U$  (respectively for  $\{\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U)\}_U$ ). We further denote the various spaces of sections associated to  $\mathcal{Poly}^k(X)$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{Poly}}^k(X)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}^k(X) &:= \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{Poly}^k(X)), \quad \Gamma^k(X) := \Gamma(\mathcal{Poly}^k(X)), \\ \mathcal{G}_c^k(X) &:= \mathcal{G}_c(\mathcal{Poly}^k(X)), \quad \Gamma_c^k(X) := \Gamma^k(X) \cap \mathcal{G}_c^k(X) \\ \overline{\mathcal{G}}^k(X) &:= \mathcal{G}(\overline{\mathcal{Poly}}^k(X)), \quad \overline{\Gamma}^k(X) := \Gamma(\overline{\mathcal{Poly}}^k(X)), \\ \overline{\mathcal{G}}_c^k(X) &:= \mathcal{G}_c(\overline{\mathcal{Poly}}^k(X)), \quad \overline{\Gamma}_c^k(X) := \overline{\Gamma}^k(X) \cap \overline{\mathcal{G}}_c^k(X) \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 5.5.** *A continuous section in  $\overline{\Gamma}^k(X)$  is a polylipschitz  $k$ -form on  $X$ . A continuous section of  $\Gamma^k(X)$  is called a  $k$ -polylipschitz section.*

We denote by

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma &= \gamma_X^k : \text{Poly}^k(X) \rightarrow \Gamma^k(X), \quad \pi \mapsto (x \mapsto [\pi]_x), \\ \bar{\gamma} &= \bar{\gamma}_X^k : \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X) \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma}^k(X), \quad \bar{\pi} \mapsto (x \mapsto [\bar{\pi}]_x), \end{aligned}$$

the natural linear maps in (5.6) associated to the presheaves  $\{\text{Poly}^k(U)\}_U$  and  $\{\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U)\}_U$ , respectively.

**5.3. Relationship of polylipschitz forms and polylipschitz sections.** We briefly describe the relationship between  $\Gamma^k(X)$  and  $\overline{\Gamma}^k(X)$ . The natural operators in this section arise as *linear maps associated to presheaf cohomomorphisms*. We give a general sheaf theoretic construction in Appendix A, and establish some of its basic properties there; see Proposition A.2. Here we apply the results in Appendix A without further mention. We assume throughout this section that  $X$  and  $Y$  are locally compact metric spaces and  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$  are possibly distinct natural numbers.

Using (2.2) and the uniqueness in diagram (4.3), we see that the quotient map (4.10) satisfies

$$(5.8) \quad Q_U \circ \rho_{U,V} = \bar{\rho}_{U,V} \circ Q_V.$$

Thus the collection  $\{Q_U : \text{Poly}^k(U) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U)\}_U$  is a presheaf homomorphism. Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the associated linear map

$$(5.9) \quad \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_k : \mathcal{G}^k(X) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{G}}^k(X).$$

The next proposition shows that cohomomorphisms  $\{\text{Poly}^k(U)\} \rightarrow \{\overline{\text{Poly}}^m(V)\}$  satisfying the locality condition (4.11) descend to cohomomorphisms  $\{\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U)\} \rightarrow \{\overline{\text{Poly}}^m(V)\}$ .

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map and*

$$\varphi = \{\varphi_U : \text{Poly}^k(U) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Poly}}^m(f^{-1}U)\}$$

*an  $f$ -cohomomorphism, where each  $\varphi_U$  is bounded. Assume  $\varphi_U \circ j_U$  satisfies (4.11) and let  $\overline{\varphi}_U : \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Poly}}^m(f^{-1}U)$  be the unique bounded linear map satisfying  $\varphi_U = \overline{\varphi}_U \circ Q_U^k$ , for each open  $U \subset Y$ . Then*

$$\overline{\varphi} = \{\overline{\varphi}_U : \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Poly}}^m(f^{-1}U)\}$$

*is an  $f$ -cohomomorphism. The linear maps  $\varphi^*$  and  $\overline{\varphi}^*$  associated to  $\varphi$  and  $\overline{\varphi}$  satisfy*

$$\varphi^* = \overline{\varphi}^* \circ \mathcal{Q}.$$

*Proof.* The existence and uniqueness of  $\overline{\varphi}_U$  follows from Proposition 4.10. For open sets  $U \subset V \subset Y$ ,  $\varphi_U \circ \rho_{U,V} \circ j_U$  and  $\rho_{f^{-1}U, f^{-1}V} \circ \varphi_V \circ j_V$  satisfy (4.11). Since  $\varphi$  is an  $f$ -cohomomorphism, (5.8) and the uniqueness in diagram (4.3) implies that

$$\overline{\varphi}_U \circ \bar{\rho}_{U,V} = \bar{\rho}_{f^{-1}U, f^{-1}V} \circ \overline{\varphi}_V.$$

The identity  $\varphi^* = \overline{\varphi}^* \circ \mathcal{Q}$  follows from the fact that  $\varphi_U = \overline{\varphi}_U \circ Q_U$  for each open  $U \subset Y$ .  $\square$

**5.4. Sequential convergence on  $\Gamma_c^k(X)$  and  $\overline{\Gamma}_c^k(X)$ .** To study sequential continuity of linear maps between polylipschitz forms and sections, we introduce a notion of sequential convergence on  $\Gamma_c^k(X)$  and  $\overline{\Gamma}_c^k(X)$ . Recall that, by Proposition 5.4 and Lemma 5.1, polylipschitz forms and sections admit overlap-compatible representations indexed by a locally finite precompact open cover; see also Remark 5.3.

**Definition 5.7.** *We say a sequence  $(\omega_n)$  in  $\Gamma_c^k(X)$  converges to  $\omega \in \Gamma_c^k(X)$ , denoted  $\omega_n \rightarrow \omega$  in  $\Gamma_c^k(X)$ , if there exists a compact set  $K \subset X$ , a locally finite precompact open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , and, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a collection  $\{\pi_U^n\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  overlap-compatible with  $\omega_n - \omega$  having the following properties:*

- (1)  $\text{spt}(\omega_n - \omega) \subset K$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and
- (2)  $\pi_U^n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\text{Poly}^k(U)$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .

*Convergence of a sequence  $(\bar{\omega}_n)$  in  $\overline{\Gamma}_c^k(X)$  to  $\bar{\omega} \in \overline{\Gamma}_c^k(X)$  is defined analogously.*

For metric spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , let  $A = \{A(U)\}_U$  and  $B = \{B(V)\}_V$  denote either of the presheaves  $\{\text{Poly}^k(U)\}_U$  or  $\{\overline{\text{Poly}}^m(U)\}_U$  on  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, and let

$$L : \Gamma_c(\mathcal{B}(Y)) \rightarrow \Gamma_c(\mathcal{A}(X))$$

be a linear map. We say that  $L$  is *sequentially continuous* if

$$L(\omega_n) \rightarrow L(\omega) \text{ in } \Gamma_c(\mathcal{A}(X)) \text{ whenever } \omega_n \rightarrow \omega \text{ in } \Gamma_c(\mathcal{B}(X)).$$

The natural quotient map from polylipschitz sections to polylipschitz forms is sequentially continuous.

**Proposition 5.8.** *The map  $\mathcal{Q} : \Gamma_c^k(X) \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}_c^k(X)$  is sequentially continuous.*

This follows immediately from an abstract result on sequential continuity of linear maps associated to cohomomorphisms.

**Proposition 5.9.** *Suppose  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a proper continuous map, and*

$$\varphi = \{\varphi_U : B(U) \rightarrow A(f^{-1}(U))\}$$

*an  $f$ -cohomomorphism, where  $A = \{A(U)\}_U$  and  $B = \{B(V)\}_V$  denote either of the presheaves  $\{\text{Poly}^k(U)\}_U$  or  $\{\overline{\text{Poly}}^m(U)\}_U$  on  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively.*

*If  $\varphi_U$  is bounded and sequentially continuous for each open  $U \subset Y$ , then the associated linear map*

$$\varphi^* : \Gamma_c(\mathcal{B}(Y)) \rightarrow \Gamma_c(\mathcal{A}(X))$$

*is sequentially continuous.*

*If  $A = \{\overline{\text{Poly}}^m(U)\}_U$ ,  $B = \{\text{Poly}^k(U)\}_U$ , and  $\varphi_U \circ j_U$  satisfies (4.11) for each open  $U \subset Y$ , then the linear map*

$$\bar{\varphi}^* : \bar{\Gamma}_c^k(Y) \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}_c^m(X)$$

*in Proposition 5.6 is sequentially continuous.*

*Proof.* We prove the first claim in case  $A = \{\overline{\text{Poly}}^m(U)\}_U$  and  $B = \{\text{Poly}^k(U)\}_U$ . The other cases are analogous.

Since  $\varphi^*$  is linear it suffices to prove sequential continuity at the origin. Let  $\omega_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\bar{\Gamma}_c^k(Y)$ , and let  $K \subset X$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\{\pi_U^n\}_{\mathcal{U}}$  be as in Definition 5.7. For each  $V \in f^{-1}\mathcal{U}$  choose  $U_V \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $V = f^{-1}U_V$ . Then the collection

$$\{\varphi_{U_V}(\pi_{U_V}^n)\}_{f^{-1}\mathcal{U}}$$

is overlap-compatible with  $\varphi^*\omega_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; cf. proof of Proposition A.2(2). Since  $\text{spt } \varphi^*\omega_n \subset f^{-1}K$  and  $\varphi_{U_V}$  is sequentially continuous for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $V \in f^{-1}\mathcal{U}$ , we have that  $\varphi^*\omega_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\bar{\Gamma}_c^m(X)$ .

To prove the last claim assume that  $A = \{\overline{\text{Poly}}^m(U)\}_U$ ,  $B = \{\text{Poly}^k(U)\}_U$ , and that  $\varphi_U \circ j_U$  satisfies (4.11) for each  $U \subset Y$ . By Proposition 4.10 the unique map  $\bar{\varphi}_U$  satisfying  $\varphi_U = \bar{\varphi}_U \circ Q_U$  is sequentially continuous. Thus, the associated linear map  $\bar{\varphi}^* : \bar{\Gamma}_c^k(Y) \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}_c^m(X)$  is sequentially continuous.  $\square$

**Remark 5.10.** *Propositions 5.9 and 5.6 have natural bilinear analogues in the situation of Remark A.3. The proofs are similar and we omit the details.*

## 6. EXTERIOR DERIVATIVE, PULL-BACK, AND CUP-PRODUCT OF POLYLIPSCHITZ FORMS

In this section we introduce the *exterior derivative*, *pull-back* and *cup product* on polylipschitz forms and sections. We prove that they are sequentially continuous with respect to a natural notion of sequential convergence on  $\Gamma_c^k(X)$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}_c^k(X)$ ; cf. Definition 5.7. The results in this section are important for applications to currents, and will be used extensively in [9].

**6.1. Pull-back.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a Lipschitz map. Consider the  $f$ -cohomomorphism  $\varphi := \{f_U^\# : \text{Poly}^k(U) \rightarrow \text{Poly}^k(f^{-1}U)\}$ , where  $f_U^\#$  is given by

$$\pi \mapsto \pi \circ (f|_{f^{-1}U} \times \cdots \times f|_{f^{-1}U}).$$

The maps  $\varphi' := \{Q_{f^{-1}U} \circ f_U^\# : \text{Poly}^k(U) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(f^{-1}U)\}$  form an  $f$ -cohomomorphism and  $Q_{f^{-1}U} \circ f_U^\# \circ j_U$  satisfies (4.11) for each  $U \subset Y$ . By Proposition 5.6 the linear maps  $f^\# : \mathcal{G}^k(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^k(X)$  and  $\bar{f}^\# : \overline{\mathcal{G}}^k(Y) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{G}}^k(X)$  associated to  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi'$ , respectively, satisfy

$$Q \circ f^\# = \bar{f}^\# \circ Q.$$

We refer to the linear maps  $f^\#$  and  $\bar{f}^\#$  as *pull-backs*. If  $f$  is proper, Proposition 5.9 implies that  $f^\#$  and  $\bar{f}^\#$  are sequentially continuous.

If  $E \subset X$ , the pull-backs  $\iota_E^\#$  and  $\bar{\iota}_E^\#$  given by the construction above for the inclusion map  $\iota_E : E \hookrightarrow X$  are called *restrictions to  $E$* . We denote

$$\omega|_E := \iota_E^\#(\omega) \text{ and } \bar{\omega}|_E := \bar{\iota}_E^\#(\bar{\omega})$$

for  $\omega \in \mathcal{G}^k(X)$  and  $\bar{\omega} \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}^k(X)$ . Note that, when  $E \subset X$  is closed, the inclusion  $\iota_E$  is a proper map. Thus the restriction operator to closed sets is sequentially continuous.

**6.2. Exterior derivative.** As in Alexander-Spanier cohomology (see [14, Section 5.26]) we define a linear map

$$d = d_X^k : \text{LIP}_\infty(X^{k+1}) \rightarrow \text{LIP}_\infty(X^{k+1})$$

by

$$(6.1) \quad d_X^k \pi(x_0, \dots, x_{k+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} (-1)^j \pi(x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_{k+1})$$

for  $\pi \in \text{LIP}_\infty(X^{k+1})$  and  $x_0, \dots, x_k \in X$ . It is a standard exercise to show that

$$(6.2) \quad d_X^{k+1} \circ d_X^k = 0$$

**Lemma 6.1.** *For each  $\pi \in \text{Poly}^k(X)$  and each open set  $V \subset X$ , we have*

$$(6.3) \quad L_{k+1}(d\pi; V) \leq (k+2)L_k(\pi; V).$$

*Thus  $d$  defines a bounded linear map  $d : \text{Poly}^k(X) \rightarrow \text{Poly}^{k+1}(X)$  which, moreover, is sequentially continuous.*

It follows from Lemma 6.1 that  $\{d_U^k : \text{Poly}^k(U) \rightarrow \text{Poly}^{k+1}(U)\}$ , and consequently  $\{Q_U^{k+1} \circ d_U^k : \text{Poly}^k(U) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Poly}}^{k+1}(U)\}$ , are presheaf homomorphisms, and  $Q_U^{k+1} \circ d_U^k \circ j_U$  satisfies (4.11) for each open  $U \subset X$ . By Propositions 5.6 and 5.9 we obtain sequentially continuous associated linear maps

$$\bar{d} := \bar{d}_X^k : \overline{\mathcal{G}}^k(X) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{G}}^{k+1}(X)$$

and

$$d := d_X^k : \mathcal{G}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{k+1}(X),$$

called the *exterior derivative* of polylipschitz forms and sections, respectively.

**Remark 6.2.** *In fact the identity*

$$(6.4) \quad \bar{d}_A^k(\bar{\rho}_{A,B}(\bar{\pi})) = \bar{\rho}_{A,B}(\bar{d}_B^k \bar{\pi}) \quad \text{for all } \bar{\pi} \in \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(B).$$

holds for any sets  $A, B \subset X$ . Thus, if  $E \subset X$  and  $\bar{\omega} \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}^k(X)$  we have  $\bar{d}(\bar{d}\bar{\omega}) = 0$  and  $(\bar{d}\bar{\omega})|_E = \bar{d}(\bar{\omega}|_E)$ . The first identity follows from (6.2) and Proposition A.2(4), while the second is implied by (6.4).

The same identities hold for restrictions and the exterior derivative of polylipschitz sections.

These properties of the exterior derivative and restriction are used in the sequel without further mention.

We conclude this subsection with the proof of Lemma 6.1. For the proof, the following expression, for  $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \in \text{LIP}_\infty(X)^{k+1}$  and  $\pi = \pi_0 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_k$ , will be useful.

$$(6.5) \quad d_X^k(\pi_0 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_k) = \sum_{l=0}^{k+1} (-1)^l \pi_0 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_{l-1} \otimes 1 \otimes \pi_l \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_k$$

*Proof of Lemma 6.1.* Let  $\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k \in \text{LIP}_\infty(X)$  and  $\pi = \pi_0 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_k \in \text{Poly}^k(X)$ . By (6.5) we have

$$L_k(d_X^k \pi; V) \leq \sum_{l=0}^{k+1} L(\pi_0|_V) \cdots L(\pi_k|_V) = (k+2)L_k(\pi; V).$$

Thus, by the subadditivity of  $L_k(\cdot; V)$ , we have, for each  $\pi \in \text{Poly}^k(X)$  and  $(\pi_0^j, \dots, \pi_k^j)_j \in \text{Rep}(\pi)$ , the estimate

$$L_{k+1}(d_X^k \pi; V) \leq \sum_j (k+2)L(\pi_0^j|_V) \cdots L(\pi_k^j|_V).$$

Taking infimum over all such representatives yields (6.3).

To show that  $d_X^k$  is sequentially continuous suppose  $\pi^n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\text{Poly}^k(X)$  and let  $V = V_0 \times \dots \times V_k$  be compact. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$\pi^n = \sum_j \pi_0^{j,n} \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_k^{j,n}$$

be a representation of  $\pi^n$  satisfying (1) and (2) in Definition 4.6. Then

$$(6.6) \quad d_X \pi^n = \sum_{l=0}^{k+1} \sum_j (-1)^l \pi_0^{j,n} \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_{l-1}^{j,n} \otimes 1 \otimes \pi_l^{j,n} \cdots \otimes \pi_k^{j,n}$$

is a representation of  $d_X^k \pi^n$ .

To show that condition (1) in Definition 4.6 is satisfied it suffices to observe that, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $l \in \{0, \dots, k+1\}$ , we have

$$\sup_n L((-1)^l \pi_0^{j,n}) L(\pi_1^{j,n}) \cdots L(\pi_k^{j,n}) = \sup_n L(\pi_0^{j,n}) \cdots L(\pi_k^{j,n}).$$

Moreover, for each compact set  $V_0 \times \dots \times V_k \subset X^{k+1}$ , we have

$$\sum_{l=0}^{k+1} \sum_j \|(-1)^l \pi_0^{j,n}|_{V_0}\|_\infty \cdots \|\pi_k^{j,n}|_{V_k}\|_\infty \leq (k+2) \sum_j \|\pi_0^{j,n}|_{V_0}\|_\infty \cdots \|\pi_k^{j,n}|_{V_k}\|_\infty.$$

Thus condition (2) in Definition 4.6 is satisfied by the representation (6.6). It follows that  $d_X \pi^n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\text{Poly}^{k+1}(X)$ .  $\square$

**6.3. Cup product.** Given polylipschitz functions  $\pi \in \text{Poly}^k(X)$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Poly}^m(X)$ , their cup product is the function  $\pi \smile \sigma : X^{k+m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k+m}) \mapsto \pi(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) \sigma(x_0, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{m+k}).$$

If  $(\pi_0^j, \dots, \pi_k^j) \in \text{Rep}(\pi)$  and  $(\sigma_0^j, \dots, \sigma_m^j) \in \text{Rep}(\sigma)$  are representations of polylipschitz functions  $\pi \in \text{Poly}^k(X)$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Poly}^m(X)$ , respectively, we observe that  $(\pi_0^j \sigma_0^i, \pi_1^j, \dots, \pi_k^j, \sigma_1^i, \dots, \sigma_m^i)_{i,j}$  is a representation of  $\pi \smile \sigma$  and that  $\pi \smile \sigma \in \text{Poly}^{k+m}(X)$ . The proof of the next Lemma follows from Definition 4.6 and straightforward calculations and estimates. We omit the details.

**Lemma 6.3.** *The cup-product  $\cdot \smile \cdot : \text{Poly}^k(X) \times \text{Poly}^m(X) \rightarrow \text{Poly}^{k+m}(X)$  is a sequentially continuous bounded bilinear map.*

The collection  $\{\smile : \text{Poly}^k(U) \times \text{Poly}^m(U) \rightarrow \text{Poly}^{k+m}(U)\}$  is a bilinear presheaf homomorphism, and we note that

$$Q_U^{k+m} \circ \smile \circ (j_U^k \times j_U^m) : \text{LIP}_\infty(U)^{k+1} \times \text{LIP}_\infty(U)^{m+1} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Poly}}^{k+m}(U)$$

satisfies the bi-linear analogue of (4.11) for each open  $U \subset X$ . By Lemma 6.3 and Remark 5.10 (see also Remark A.3) we obtain bilinear maps

$$\smile : \overline{\mathcal{G}}^k(X) \times \overline{\mathcal{G}}^m(X) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{G}}^{k+m}(X)$$

and

$$\smile : \mathcal{G}^k(X) \times \mathcal{G}^m(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{k+m}(X),$$

called the *cup product* of polylipschitz forms and sections, respectively. Note that

$$\text{spt}(\bar{\omega} \smile \bar{\sigma}) \subset \text{spt} \bar{\omega} \cap \text{spt} \bar{\sigma}, \quad \bar{\Gamma}^k(X) \smile \bar{\Gamma}^m(X) \subset \bar{\Gamma}^{k+m}(X),$$

see Remark A.3. We record the following standard identities for cup products, pull-backs and the exterior derivative; cf. [8, 7].

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a Lipschitz map. Let  $\bar{\alpha} \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}^k(Y)$  and  $\bar{\beta} \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}^m(Y)$ . Then*

- (a)  $\bar{f}^\#(\bar{\alpha} \smile \bar{\beta}) = (\bar{f}^\# \bar{\alpha}) \smile (\bar{f}^\# \bar{\beta})$ , and
- (b)  $\bar{d}(\bar{\alpha} \smile \bar{\beta}) = \bar{d}\bar{\alpha} \smile \bar{\beta} + (-1)^k \bar{\alpha} \smile \bar{d}\bar{\beta}$ .

*The same identities hold for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}^k(Y)$  and  $\beta \in \mathcal{G}^m(Y)$ .*

## 7. METRIC CURRENTS AS THE DUAL OF POLYLIPSCHITZ FORMS

In this section  $X$  is a locally compact metric space and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We prove that metric currents act sequentially continuously on the space of polylipschitz forms. Recall the natural maps (4.8), (4.9) and (5.7) and denote

$$(7.1) \quad \iota = \iota_X^k : \mathcal{D}^k(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_c^k(X), \quad \iota := \gamma \circ j|_{\mathcal{D}^k(X)},$$

and

$$(7.2) \quad \bar{\iota} = \bar{\iota}_X^k : \mathcal{D}^k(X) \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}_c^k(X), \quad \bar{\iota} := \bar{\gamma} \circ \bar{j}|_{\mathcal{D}^k(X)},$$

It follows from the respective definitions of sequential convergence that  $\iota$  and  $\bar{\iota}$  are sequentially continuous.

**Theorem 7.1.** *For each  $T \in \mathcal{D}_k(X)$ , there exists a unique sequentially continuous linear map  $\widehat{T} : \overline{\Gamma}_c^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $T = \widehat{T} \circ \iota$ .*

We use an auxiliary result for the proof of Theorem 7.1. For the next lemma, let  $T \in \mathcal{D}_k(X)$  be a metric current,  $\varphi \in \text{LIP}_c(X)$ , and  $U$  an open set containing  $K := \text{spt } \varphi$ . We define the  $(k+1)$ -linear map

$$(7.3) \quad T_\varphi^U : \text{LIP}_\infty(U)^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \mapsto T(\varphi\pi_0, \tilde{\pi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\pi}_k)$$

for any extension  $\tilde{\pi}_l \in \text{LIP}_\infty(X)$  of  $\pi_l$ . By (3.1) and the discussion preceding it we have that the map  $T_U$  is well-defined and bounded, with the bound

$$(7.4) \quad |T_\varphi^U(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k)| \leq 2CL(\varphi)L(\pi_0|_K) \cdots L(\pi_k|_K)$$

for  $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \in \text{LIP}_\infty(U)^{k+1}$ .

**Lemma 7.2.** *The bounded  $(k+1)$ -linear map  $T_\varphi^U : \text{LIP}_\infty(U)^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in (7.3) descends to a unique sequentially continuous bounded linear map  $\overline{T}_\varphi^U : \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $\overline{T}_\varphi^U \circ \bar{j} = T_\varphi^U$ .*

*Proof.* By the locality properties of currents, we have that

$$T_\varphi^U(\pi_0, \dots, 1, \dots, \pi_k) = 0, \quad (\pi_0, \dots, 1, \dots, \pi_k) \in \text{LIP}_\infty(U)^{k+1}$$

if one of the functions  $\pi_l$  is the constant one for  $l = 1, \dots, k$ ; cf. Definition 4.9. By Proposition 4.10  $T_\varphi^U$  descends to a bounded linear map  $\overline{T}_\varphi^U : \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , satisfying  $T_\varphi^U = \overline{T}_\varphi^U \circ \bar{j}$ , and the sequential continuity of  $\overline{T}_\varphi^U$  is implied by the sequential continuity of the bounded linear map  $\overline{A} : \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for which  $T_\varphi^U = \overline{A} \circ \bar{j}$ . To prove sequential continuity of  $\overline{A}$ , suppose that the sequence  $(\pi^n)_n$  converges to zero in  $\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U)$ . It suffices to prove that each subsequence of  $\{\overline{A}(\pi^n)\}_n$  has a further subsequence converging to zero.

Since  $\pi^n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U)$  there are representatives  $(\pi_0^{j,n}, \dots, \pi_k^{j,n})_j \in \text{Rep}(\pi^n)$  satisfying

$$\sum_j \sup_n L(\pi_0^{j,n}) \cdots L(\pi_k^{j,n}) < \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_j \|\pi_0^{j,n}|_K\|_\infty \cdots \|\pi_k^{j,n}|_K\|_\infty = 0.$$

For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote  $t_j := \sup_n L(\pi_0^{j,n}) \cdots L(\pi_k^{j,n})$ . We may assume  $L(\pi_l^{j,n}) \neq 0$  for all  $l = 0, \dots, k$  and  $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $l = 0, \dots, k$ , let

$$\sigma_l^{j,n} = a_l^{j,n} \pi_l^{j,n},$$

where

$$a_l^{j,n} = \frac{(L(\pi_0^{j,n}) \cdots L(\pi_k^{j,n}))^{1/(k+1)}}{L(\pi_l^{j,n})}.$$

Then

$$\sigma_0^{j,n} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_k^{j,n} = \pi_0^{j,n} \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi_k^{j,n}$$

which implies

$$(7.5) \quad \begin{aligned} T(\varphi\pi_0^{j,n}, \pi_1^{j,n}, \dots, \pi_k^{j,n}) &= \overline{A}(\pi_0^{j,n} \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_k^{j,n}) = \overline{A}(\sigma_0^{j,n} \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_k^{j,n}) \\ &= T(\varphi\sigma_0^{j,n}, \sigma_1^{j,n}, \dots, \sigma_k^{j,n}). \end{aligned}$$

For each  $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $l = 0, \dots, k$ , we have

$$L(\sigma_l^{j,n}) = [L(\pi_0^{j,n}) \dots L(\pi_k^{j,n})]^{1/(k+1)} \leq t_j^{1/(k+1)}.$$

By the Arzela-Ascoli theorem and a diagonal argument, there exists a subsequence and  $\sigma_l^j \in \text{LIP}_\infty(U)$  for which  $\sigma_l^{j,n} \rightarrow \sigma_l^j$  in  $\text{LIP}_\infty(U)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $l = 0, \dots, k$ . Thus

$$(7.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(\varphi\sigma_0^{j,n}, \sigma_1^{j,n}, \dots, \sigma_k^{j,n}) = T(\varphi\sigma_0^j, \sigma_1^j, \dots, \sigma_k^j).$$

Moreover, for fixed  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\sigma_0^{j,n}|_K\|_\infty \dots \|\sigma_k^{j,n}|_K\|_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\pi_0^{j,n}|_K\|_\infty \dots \|\pi_k^{j,n}|_K\|_\infty = 0$$

and

$$\|\sigma_l^{j,n}|_K\|_\infty = a_l^{j,n} \|\pi_l^{j,n}|_K\|_\infty \leq t_j^{1/(k+1)} \text{ for all } n \text{ and } l = 0, \dots, k.$$

It follows that, up to passing to a further subsequence, there is, for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , an index  $l = 0, \dots, k$  for which  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\sigma_l^{j,n}|_K\|_\infty = 0$ . Consequently, for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $l = 0, \dots, k$  for which

$$(7.7) \quad \sigma_l^j|_K = 0.$$

The locality of  $T$  together with (7.5), (7.6), and (7.7) now implies that

$$(7.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(\varphi\pi_0^{j,n}, \pi_1^{j,n}, \dots, \pi_k^{j,n}) = 0$$

for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . The estimate (7.4) yields

$$|T(\varphi\pi_0^{j,n}, \pi_1^{j,n}, \dots, \pi_k^{j,n})| \leq Ct_j$$

for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and for some constant  $C > 0$ . The dominated convergence theorem now implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{A}(\pi^n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_j^\infty T(\pi_0^{j,n}, \dots, \pi_k^{j,n}) = \sum_j^\infty \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(\pi_0^{j,n}, \dots, \pi_k^{j,n}) = 0.$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 7.3.** By the multilinearity of currents and the uniqueness in Lemma 7.2, we observe the following functorial property: If  $\varphi, \psi \in \text{LIP}_c(X)$ ,  $U \subset V$  are open sets, and  $\text{spt } \varphi \cup \text{spt } \psi \subset U$ , we have that

$$\overline{T_{\varphi+\psi}^U} = \overline{T_\varphi^U} + \overline{T_\psi^U} \text{ and } \overline{T_\varphi^V} = \overline{T_\varphi^U} \circ \bar{\rho}_{U,V}$$

for each  $T \in \mathcal{D}_k(X)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 7.1.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{D}_k(X)$ . We define  $\widehat{T} : \overline{\Gamma}_c^k(X) \rightarrow V$  as follows. Let  $\omega \in \overline{\Gamma}_c^k(X)$  be overlap-compatible with  $\{\bar{\pi}_U \in \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U)\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  for a locally finite precompact open cover; cf. Remark 5.3. Let  $\{\varphi_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  be a Lipschitz partition of unity subordinate to  $\mathcal{U}$  and set

$$(7.9) \quad \widehat{T}(\omega) := \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \overline{T_{\varphi_U}^U}(\bar{\pi}_U),$$



where  $T_{\varphi_U}^U$  is as in Lemma 7.2. Note that, since  $\text{spt } \omega$  is compact, only finitely many  $\pi_U$  are nonzero and the sum has only finitely many nonzero terms.

We prove that  $\widehat{T}$  is well-defined. Let  $\{\bar{\sigma}_V\}_{V \in \mathcal{V}}$  be overlap-compatible with  $\omega$ , and  $\{\psi_V\}_{V \in \mathcal{V}}$  a Lipschitz partition of unity subordinate to  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a locally finite refinement of  $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V} = \{U \cap V : U \in \mathcal{U}, V \in \mathcal{V}\}$  with the property that, whenever  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ , and  $W \subset U \cap V$ , we have that

$$\bar{\rho}_{W,U}(\bar{\pi}_U) = \bar{\rho}_{W,V}(\bar{\sigma}_V);$$

cf. Remark 5.3 and the discussion after it. In particular

$$(7.10) \quad \bar{\rho}_{U \cap V \cap W, U}(\bar{\pi}_U) = \bar{\rho}_{U \cap V \cap W, V}(\bar{\sigma}_V)$$

for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ .

Let  $\{\theta_W\}_{W \in \mathcal{W}}$  be a Lipschitz partition of unity subordinate to  $\mathcal{W}$ . By the functorial properties in Remark 7.3, and (7.10), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \overline{T_{\varphi_U}^U}(\pi_U) &= \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}} \overline{T_{\varphi_U \psi_V \theta_W}^U}(\bar{\pi}_U) \\ &= \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}} \overline{T_{\varphi_U \psi_V \theta_W}^{U \cap V \cap W}}(\bar{\rho}_{U \cap V \cap W, U}(\bar{\pi}_U)) \\ &= \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}} \overline{T_{\varphi_U \psi_V \theta_W}^{U \cap V \cap W}}(\bar{\rho}_{U \cap V \cap W, V}(\bar{\sigma}_V)) \\ &= \sum_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \overline{T_{\varphi_V}^V}(\bar{\sigma}_V). \end{aligned}$$

Note that all the sums above have only finitely many non-zero summands. This shows that  $\widehat{T}$  is well-defined.

To prove that  $\widehat{T} : \bar{\Gamma}_c^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is sequentially continuous, let  $\omega_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\bar{\Gamma}_c^k(X)$ . By Definition 5.7 there is a compact set  $K \subset X$ , a locally finite precompact open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\{\bar{\pi}_U^n\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  overlap-compatible with  $\omega_n$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that  $\text{spt } \omega_n \subset K$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$\bar{\pi}_U^n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Since  $K$  is compact and  $\mathcal{U}$  is locally finite, the collection

$$\mathcal{U}_K := \{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$$

is finite. Moreover, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $U \notin \mathcal{U}_K$ , we have that  $\bar{\pi}_U^n = 0$ , since otherwise  $\omega_n(x) = [\bar{\pi}_U^n]_x \neq 0$  for some  $x \notin K$ . It follows by Lemma 7.2 that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{T}(\omega_n) = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_K} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{T_{\varphi_U}^U}(\bar{\pi}_U^n) = 0.$$

To prove the factorization, suppose  $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \in \mathcal{D}^k(X)$  and  $\{\varphi_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  is a Lipschitz partition of unity subordinate to a locally finite precompact open cover  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\{\bar{\pi}_U := \pi_0|_U \otimes \overline{\pi_1|_U} \otimes \dots \otimes \overline{\pi_k|_U}\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  is overlap-compatible with  $\bar{\iota}(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k)$ . Proposition 7.2 and (7.3) now imply that

$$\widehat{T}(\bar{\iota}(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k)) = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \overline{T_{\varphi_U}^U}(\bar{\pi}_U) = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} T(\varphi_U \pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_k) = T(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k).$$

For uniqueness, let  $A : \bar{\Gamma}_c^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be linear and sequentially continuous, and  $A \circ \bar{\iota} = T$ . Let  $\omega \in \bar{\Gamma}_c^k(X)$  be overlap-compatible with  $\{\bar{\pi}_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$ , and let  $\{\varphi_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  be a

Lipschitz partition of unity subordinate to  $\mathcal{U}$ . We may assume that  $\bar{\pi}_U \in \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X)$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  by Lemma 4.4 and the surjectivity of 4.10.

Note that  $\varphi_U \smile \omega = \bar{\gamma}(\varphi_U \smile \bar{\pi}_U)$  and, by linearity,

$$A(\omega) = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} A(\varphi_U \smile \omega) = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} A(\bar{\gamma}(\varphi_U \smile \bar{\pi}_U)).$$

For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , the linear map

$$A_U : \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad A_U = A(\bar{\gamma}(\varphi_U \smile \cdot))$$

is bounded and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} A_U \circ \bar{j}(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) &= A(\bar{\gamma}((\varphi_U \pi_0) \otimes \bar{\pi}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \bar{\pi}_k)) \\ &= A(\bar{\gamma} \circ \bar{j}(\varphi_U \pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)) = T(\varphi_U \pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_k) \end{aligned}$$

for  $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \in \mathcal{D}^k(X)$ ; cf. (7.1). The uniqueness in Lemma 7.2 implies that  $A_U = \overline{T_{\varphi_U}^U}$ . Hence

$$A(\omega) = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} A(\bar{\gamma}(\varphi_U \smile \bar{\pi}_U)) = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \overline{T_{\varphi_U}^U}(\bar{\pi}_U) = \widehat{T}(\omega).$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

The next proposition establishes (1.2).

**Proposition 7.4.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{D}_k(X)$  be a  $k$ -current on  $X$ . Let  $\widehat{T} : \bar{\Gamma}_c^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\widehat{\partial T} : \bar{\Gamma}_c^{k-1}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be extensions of  $T$  and  $\partial T$ , respectively. Then we have*

$$\widehat{\partial T}(\omega) = \widehat{T}(\bar{d}\omega)$$

for each  $\omega \in \bar{\Gamma}_c^{k-1}(X)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\bar{d}$  is sequentially continuous it follows that  $\widehat{T} \circ \bar{d}$  defines an element in  $\bar{\Gamma}_c^{k-1}(X)^*$ . Since the extension in Theorem 7.1 is unique, it suffices to show that

$$\widehat{T}(\bar{d}(\bar{\iota}(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{k-1}))) = \partial T(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{k-1})$$

for  $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{k-1}) \in \mathcal{D}^{k-1}(X)$ .

The expression (6.5) shows that, for each open  $U \subset X$ , the  $l^{th}$  term in the sum (6.5) is constant the  $x_l$ -variable, where  $l = 1, \dots, k$ , and thus belongs to  $\ker Q_U^k$ . Therefore

$$Q_U^k \circ d(j_U(\pi_0|_U, \dots, \pi_{k-1}|_U)) = Q_U^{k+1} \circ j_U(1, \pi_0, \dots, \pi_{k-1}).$$

It follows that  $\bar{d}_U(\bar{j}(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{k-1})) = \bar{j}_U(1, \pi_0, \dots, \pi_{k-1})$ . Since  $U$  is arbitrary we have

$$\bar{d}(\bar{\iota}(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{k-1})) = \bar{\iota}(1, \pi_0, \dots, \pi_{k-1}) = \bar{\iota}(\varphi, \pi_0, \dots, \pi_{k-1})$$

for any  $\varphi \in \text{LIP}_c(X)$  which is 1 on a neighborhood of  $\text{spt } \pi_0$ . This implies that

$$\widehat{T} \circ \bar{d}(\bar{\iota}(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k)) = \widehat{T}(\bar{\iota}(\varphi, \pi_0, \dots, \pi_k)) = T(\varphi, \pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) = \partial T(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k).$$

The claim follows.  $\square$

**7.1. Pre-dual for metric currents – Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Before proving Theorem 1.1, we record the following locality property of  $\bar{\iota}$ . Note that the claim of Lemma 7.5 is not true for  $\iota$ , and thus  $\Gamma_c^k(X)$  is not a pre-dual for metric currents.

**Lemma 7.5.** *Let  $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \in \mathcal{D}^k(X)$ . If  $\pi_l$  is constant on a neighborhood of  $\text{spt } \pi_0$  for some  $l = 1, \dots, k$  then  $\bar{\iota}(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $x \in \text{spt } \pi_0$  and  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  so that  $\pi_l$  is constant on  $U$ , then  $\text{Poly}^k(U) \ni \pi_0|_U \otimes \pi_1|_U \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_k|_U = 0$ . Thus  $\bar{\iota}(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) = [\pi_0 \otimes \pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_k]_x = 0$ .

If  $x \notin \text{spt } \pi_0$ , then there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  on which  $\pi_0$  vanishes, so that  $\text{Poly}^k(V) \ni \pi_0|_V \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_k|_V = 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* By Theorem 7.1 we have a linear map

$$\Xi : \mathcal{D}_k(X) \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}_c^k(X)^*, \quad T \mapsto \hat{T}.$$

The uniqueness of the extension implies injectivity of  $\Xi$ . Indeed, the only current  $T \in \mathcal{D}_k(X)$  for which  $\hat{T} = \Xi(T) = 0$  is the zero current  $T = 0$ .

If  $\hat{T} \in \bar{\Gamma}_c^k(X)^*$ , then  $T := \hat{T} \circ \bar{\iota} : \mathcal{D}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defines a metric  $k$ -current. Indeed,  $(k+1)$ -linearity and sequential continuity are clear, and locality follows from Lemma 7.5. Clearly  $\Xi(T) = \hat{T}$  and thus we have shown the surjectivity of  $\Xi$ .

The identity (1.2) is proven in Proposition 7.4. It remains to prove the sequential continuity of  $\Xi$ . By linearity, it suffices to show that, if  $T_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}_k(X)$ , then  $\hat{T}_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $\bar{\Gamma}_c^k(X)^*$ .

Let  $\omega \in \bar{\Gamma}_c^k(X)$  and suppose  $\{\bar{\pi}_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  is overlap-compatible with  $\omega$ . Let  $\{\varphi_U\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  be a Lipschitz partition of unity subordinate to  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\omega$  is compactly supported, there is a compact set  $K \subset X$  containing every set  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  for which  $\bar{\pi}_U \neq 0$ . The collection of these elements of  $\mathcal{U}$  is a finite set and we denote it by  $\mathcal{U}_K$ .

For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_K$  let  $\pi_U \in Q_U^{-1}(\bar{\pi}_U)$  and fix a representation  $(\pi_0^{j,U}, \dots, \pi_k^{j,U})_j \in \text{Rep}(\pi_U)$ . We have

$$\hat{T}_i(\omega) = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_K} \overline{(T_i)_{\varphi_U}^U}(\bar{\pi}_U) = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_K} \sum_j^\infty T_i(\varphi_U \pi_0^{j,U}, \pi_1^{j,U}, \dots, \pi_k^{j,U}).$$

Since  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (T_i)_{\varphi_U}^U(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) = 0$  for every  $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \in \text{LIP}_\infty(U)^{k+1}$  the bound (7.4) and the multilinear uniform boundedness principle, cf. [11, Theorem 1] and [13, Theorem 1], implies that there is a constant  $C > 0$  for which

$$|T_i(\varphi_U \pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)| \leq CL(\pi_0) \cdots L(\pi_k)$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \in \text{LIP}_\infty(U)^{k+1}$ . By the dominated convergence theorem, we have that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \hat{T}_i(\omega) = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_j^\infty \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T_i(\varphi_U \pi_0^{j,U}, \pi_1^{j,U}, \dots, \pi_k^{j,U}) = 0.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

## 8. CURRENTS OF LOCALLY FINITE MASS: EXTENSION TO PARTITION-CONTINUOUS POLYLIPSCHITZ FORMS

In this section we show that currents of *locally finite mass* admit a further extension to *partition-continuous* sections. We introduce the following notation: for

a subset  $E \subset X$  and  $\bar{\pi} \in \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X)$ , set

$$\bar{L}_k(\bar{\pi}; E) := \bar{L}_k(\rho_{E,X}(\bar{\pi}))$$

and

$$\text{Lip}_k(\bar{\pi}; E) = \inf \left\{ \sup_{x \in E} \sum_j |\pi_0^j(x)| \text{Lip}(\pi_1^j|_E) \cdots \text{Lip}(\pi_k^j|_E) : (\pi_0^j, \dots, \pi_k^j) \in \text{Rep}(\pi) \right\}$$

for any  $\pi \in Q^{-1}(\bar{\pi})$ . This is clearly independent of the choice of  $\pi$  and the estimate

$$\text{Lip}_k(\bar{\pi}; E) \leq \bar{L}_k(\bar{\pi}; E)$$

holds.

**Definition 8.1.** For  $\omega \in \mathcal{G}^k(X)$  and  $x \in X$ , the pointwise norm  $\|\omega\|_x$  of  $\omega$  at  $x$  is

$$\|\omega\|_x = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \text{Lip}_k(\bar{\pi}; B_r(x)),$$

where  $\bar{\pi} \in \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U)$  satisfies  $[\bar{\pi}]_x = \omega(x)$  and  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $x$ .

A simple argument using a representation of  $\omega$  shows that, for each  $\omega \in \Gamma^k(X)$ , the function  $x \mapsto \|\omega\|_x$  is upper semicontinuous.

We have, for  $\pi = (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \in \mathcal{D}^k(X)$ , that

$$\|\bar{\iota}(\pi)\|_x \leq |\pi_0(x)| \text{Lip} \pi_1(x) \cdots \text{Lip} \pi_k(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

**8.1. Partition continuous polylipschitz forms and their convergence.** Let  $E \subset X$  be a Borel set, and define

$$\overline{\mathcal{G}}_E^k(X) := \rho_E^{-1}(\bar{\Gamma}^k(E)).$$

Given  $\omega \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}_E^k(X)$ , a collection  $\{\bar{\pi}_U\}_{\mathcal{U}}$  is said to be *overlap-compatible* with  $\omega$  in  $E$ , if  $\mathcal{U}$  is a locally finite precompact open cover of  $E$  and  $\{\bar{\rho}_{E \cap U, U}(\bar{\pi}_U)\}_{\mathcal{U}}$  is overlap-compatible with  $\omega|_E$ . Set

$$\overline{\mathcal{G}}_{E,c}^k(X) = \overline{\mathcal{G}}_E^k(X) \cap \overline{\mathcal{G}}_c^k(X).$$

**Definition 8.2.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a countable Borel partition of  $X$ . A section  $\omega \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}^k(X)$  is called  $\mathcal{E}$ -continuous if there is a locally finite precompact open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  and a collection  $\{\bar{\pi}_U \in \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U)\}_{\mathcal{U}}$  so that

- (1)  $\{\bar{\pi}_U\}_{\mathcal{U}}$  is overlap-compatible with  $\omega$  in  $E$ , for every  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ ;
- (2)  $\sup_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \bar{L}_k(\bar{\pi}_U; E \cap U) = C_U < \infty$  for every  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Given  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\{\bar{\pi}_U\}_{\mathcal{U}}$  satisfying (1) and (2) above we say that  $\{\bar{\pi}_U\}_{\mathcal{U}}$  represents  $\omega$  with respect to  $\mathcal{E}$ .

A section  $\omega \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}^k(X)$  is called *partition-continuous* if it is  $\mathcal{E}$ -continuous for some countable Borel partition of  $X$ . We also call a partition  $\mathcal{E}$ , for which  $\omega$  is  $\mathcal{E}$ -continuous, an *admissible* partition for  $\omega \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}^k(X)$ .

We denote  $\bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc}}^k(X)$  the vector space of partition-continuous forms, and set  $\bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc},c}^k(X) := \overline{\mathcal{G}}_c^k(X) \cap \bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc}}^k(X)$ .

**Definition 8.3.** A sequence  $(\omega_n)$  in  $\bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc},c}^k(X)$  converges to  $\omega \in \bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc},c}^k(X)$ , denoted  $\omega_n \rightarrow \omega$  in  $\bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc},c}^k(X)$ , if there is a compact set  $K \subset X$ , a countable Borel partition  $\mathcal{E}$ , a locally finite precompact open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  and collections  $\{\bar{\pi}_U^n\}_{\mathcal{U}}$  representing  $\omega_n - \omega$  with respect to  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that

- (1)  $\text{spt}(\omega_n - \omega) \subset K$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (2)  $\rho_{E \cap U, U}(\bar{\pi}^n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(E \cap U)$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , and
- (3)  $\sup_{n, E} \bar{L}_k(\bar{\pi}^n; E \cap U) = C_K < \infty$  for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_K := \{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$ .

Note that the inclusion  $\iota_{\text{pc}} : \bar{\Gamma}_c^k(X) \hookrightarrow \bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc},c}^k(X)$  is sequentially continuous. We denote by

$$\iota_{\text{pc}} := \iota_{\text{pc}} \circ \iota : \mathcal{D}^k(X) \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc},c}^k(X)$$

the natural inclusion.

**8.2. Mass bounds for restrictions of currents to Borel sets.** In this section we prove Theorem 1.3. In fact the bound (1.3) in Theorem 1.3 follows directly from the more technical statement (8.3) in Proposition 8.4. We begin by discussing a variant of Lemma 7.2 for currents of locally finite mass and their restrictions to Borel sets.

Let  $T \in M_{k,\text{loc}}(X)$  and  $E \subset X$  be a Borel set. If  $\varphi \in \text{LIP}_\infty(E)$  is the restriction to  $E$  of a compactly supported function (equivalently, if  $\text{spt } \varphi$  is a totally bounded set) and  $U \subset X$  is an open set with  $\text{spt } \varphi \subset U$ , consider the map  $(T|_E)_\varphi^U$  in (7.3). By the locality properties of currents the value  $(T|_E)_\varphi^U(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k)$  for  $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \in \text{LIP}_\infty(U)^{k+1}$  depends only on  $\pi_0|_{E \cap U}, \dots, \pi_k|_{E \cap U}$ . Thus we get a  $(k+1)$ -linear map

$$T_\varphi^{E \cap U} : \text{LIP}_\infty(E \cap U)^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

By Lemma 3.3, the map  $T_\varphi^{E \cap U}$  satisfies the bound

$$(8.1) \quad |T_\varphi^{E \cap U}(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k)| \leq \text{Lip}(\pi_1|_{E \cap U}) \cdots \text{Lip}(\pi_k|_{E \cap U}) \int_{E \cap U} |\varphi| |\pi_0| d\|T\|.$$

In particular it is bounded and satisfies (4.11). We denote by

$$\overline{T_\varphi^{E \cap U}} : \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(E \cap U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

the sequentially continuous linear map for which  $T_\varphi^{E \cap U} = \overline{T_\varphi^{E \cap U}} \circ \bar{j}_{E \cap U}$ ; cf. Lemma 7.2. Note that the statements in Remark 7.3 remain true for all Borel sets  $U \subset V \subset X$ .

Given  $T \in M_{k,\text{loc}}(X)$  and a Borel set  $E \subset X$ , we define  $\hat{T}_E : \mathcal{G}_{E,c}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$(8.2) \quad \hat{T}_E(\omega) := \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \overline{T_\varphi^{E \cap U}}(\bar{\rho}_{E \cap U, U}(\bar{\pi}_U)),$$

whenever  $\omega \in \mathcal{G}_{E,c}^k(X)$  is represented by  $\{\pi_U\}_{\mathcal{U}}$  in  $E$ , and  $\{\varphi_U\}$  is a Lipschitz partition of unity (in  $E$ ) subordinate to  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Proposition 8.4.** Let  $T \in M_{k,\text{loc}}(X)$  and let  $E \subset X$  be a Borel set. Then  $\hat{T}_E$  is well-defined, linear and satisfies  $T|_E = \hat{T}_E \circ \bar{\iota}$ . Moreover,

$$(8.3) \quad |\hat{T}_E(\omega)| \leq \int_E \|\omega|_E\|_x d\|T\|(x), \quad \text{for each } \omega \in \mathcal{G}_{E,c}^k(X).$$

*Proof.* Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 7.1, we see that  $\widehat{T}_E$  is well-defined. Linearity is clear and the factorization follows from the identities  $T_{\varphi_U}^{E \cap U} = \overline{T_{\varphi_U}^{E \cap U}} \circ \bar{j}_{E \cap U}$  as in the proof of Theorem 7.1.

Next we prove the bound in the claim. Let  $\varphi \in \text{Lip}_\infty(E)$  and  $\text{spt } \varphi \subset U$  a precompact open set. For  $\pi \in \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X)$ ,  $\pi \in Q^{-1}(\bar{\pi})$  and  $(\pi_0^j, \dots, \pi_k^j)_j \in \text{Rep}(\pi)$ , we have

$$\overline{T_\varphi^{E \cap U}}(\bar{\pi}) = \sum_j^\infty T_\varphi^{E \cap U}(\pi_0^j, \dots, \pi_k^j) = \sum_j^\infty T(\chi_E \varphi \pi_0^j, \pi_1^j, \dots, \pi_k^j)$$

and, by (8.1), the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\overline{T_\varphi^{E \cap U}}(\bar{\pi})| &\leq \sum_j^\infty \text{Lip}(\pi_1^j|_{E \cap \text{spt } \varphi}) \cdots \text{Lip}(\pi_k^j|_{E \cap \text{spt } \varphi}) \int_E |\varphi \pi_0^j| d\|T\| \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in E \cap \text{spt } \varphi} \left( \sum_j^\infty \text{Lip}(\pi_1^j|_{E \cap \text{spt } \varphi}) \cdots \text{Lip}(\pi_k^j|_{E \cap \text{spt } \varphi}) |\pi_0^j(x)| \right) \int_E |\varphi| d\|T\|, \end{aligned}$$

yielding

$$(8.4) \quad |\overline{T_\varphi^{E \cap U}}(\bar{\pi})| \leq \text{Lip}_k(\bar{\pi}; E \cap \text{spt } \varphi) \int_E |\varphi| d\|T\|.$$

Let  $\omega \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}_{E,c}^k(X)$ . We extend  $\omega|_E : E \rightarrow \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(E)$  as the zero map

$$\omega|_E : X \rightarrow \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(E) \sqcup \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X \setminus E)$$

outside  $E$ , and thus  $\|\omega|_E\|_x = 0$  if  $x \notin E$ . Let  $K := \text{spt } \omega$  and let  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a simple Borel function satisfying  $\|\omega|_E\| \leq g$ . We may assume that

$$g = \sum_l^m a_l \chi_{A_l},$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{A_0, \dots, A_m\}$  is a Borel partition of  $K$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\|T\|$  is a Radon measure there is, for each  $l$ , an open set  $U_l \supset A_l$ , satisfying

$$\|T\|(U_l) < \|T\|(A_l) + \varepsilon.$$

We construct a collection overlap-compatible with  $\omega$  in  $E$  as follows: for  $x \in K$  there exists a unique  $l = 0, \dots, m$  for which  $x \in A_l$ . Fix a radius  $r_x > 0$  for which  $B_x := B_{r_x}(x) \subset U_l$  is precompact. Let  $\pi_x \in \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(B_x)$  satisfy

$$[\bar{\rho}_{E \cap B_x, B_x}(\pi_x)]_x = \omega|_E(x) \text{ and } \text{Lip}_k(\pi_x; E \cap B_x) \leq a_l + \varepsilon,$$

if  $x \in E$ , and  $\pi_x = 0$  if  $x \in K \setminus E$ . For  $x \notin K$  let  $B_x \subset X \setminus K$  be a precompact ball around  $x$  and set  $\pi_x = 0$ .

By Lemma 5.1, we may pass to a locally finite refinement  $\mathcal{U}$  and a collection

$$\pi_U := \rho_{U, B_x}(\pi_x) \in \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(U), \text{ where } U \subset B_x$$

so that  $\{\bar{\rho}_{E \cap U, U}(\pi_U)\}_{\mathcal{U}}$  is overlap-compatible with  $\omega|_E$ . Note that  $\rho_{E \cap U, U}(\pi_U) = 0$  if  $U \notin \mathcal{U}_K := \{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$ , since otherwise there would be  $x \in E \setminus K$  for which  $\omega(x) = [\rho_{E \cap U, U}(\pi_U)]_x \neq 0$ .

Let  $\{\varphi_U\}$  be a Lipschitz partition of unity subordinate to  $\mathcal{U}$  (in  $E$ ). By the definition of  $\widehat{T}_E$  and (8.4) we have

$$|\widehat{T}_E(\omega)| \leq \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_K} |\overline{T_{\varphi_U}^{E \cap U}}(\bar{\pi}_U)| \leq \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_K} \text{Lip}_k(\pi_U; E \cap U) \int_E \varphi_U d\|T\|.$$

We may express the collection  $\mathcal{U}_K$  as

$$\mathcal{U}_K = \bigcup_{l=0}^m \mathcal{U}_l, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{U}_l = \{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \subset B_x \text{ with } x \in A_l\}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{T}_E(\omega)| &\leq \sum_l \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_l} \text{Lip}_k(\pi_U; E \cap U) \int_E \varphi_U d\|T\| \\ &\leq \sum_l (a_l + \varepsilon) \int_E \left( \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_l} \varphi_U \right) d\|T\| \\ &\leq \sum_l (a_l + \varepsilon) \int_E \chi_{U_l} d\|T\| \leq \sum_l (a_l + \varepsilon) \|T\|(U_l \cap E). \end{aligned}$$

By the choice of the open sets  $U_l$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|(U_l \cap E) + \|T\|(U_l \setminus E) &< \|T\|(A_l \cap E) + \|T\|(A_l \setminus E) + \varepsilon \\ &< \|T\|(A_l \cap E) + \|T\|(U_l \setminus E) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|T\|(U_l \cap E) < \|T\|(A_l \cap E) + \varepsilon$$

for each  $l \leq m$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we have

$$|\widehat{T}_E(\omega)| \leq \sum_l a_l \|T\|(A_l \cap E) = \int_E g d\|T\|.$$

By taking infimum over all simple functions  $g$  satisfying  $\|\omega|_E\| \leq g$ , we obtain the claim.  $\square$

**Proposition 8.5.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{D}_k(X)$ . The map  $\widehat{T}_E : \overline{\mathcal{G}}_{E,c}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in (8.2) is unique among linear maps satisfying (8.3).*

*Proof.* Let  $A : \overline{\mathcal{G}}_{E,c}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear map such that  $A \circ \bar{\iota} = T|_E$  and  $A$  satisfies (8.3). We observe that, by (8.3), the value  $A(\omega)$  depends only on  $\omega|_E$ .

Let  $\omega \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}_{E,c}^k(X)$  and suppose  $\{\bar{\pi}_U\}_{\mathcal{U}}$  is overlap-compatible with  $\omega$  in  $E$ , and let  $\{\varphi_U\}$  be a Lipschitz partition of unity in  $E$  subordinate to  $\mathcal{U}$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  note that  $\varphi_U \smile \omega|_E = \bar{\gamma}_E(\varphi_U \smile \bar{\rho}_{E \cap U, U}(\bar{\pi}_U))$ . Consider the multilinear map

$$A_U : \text{LIP}_\infty(U \cap E)^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad A_U = A(\bar{\gamma}_E(\varphi_U \smile j_{E \cap U}(\cdot)))$$

where  $\bar{\gamma}_E : \text{Poly}^k(E) \rightarrow \Gamma^k(E)$  is the canonical map in (5.7). By (8.3),  $A_U$  is bounded. As in the proof of Theorem 7.1 we see that  $A_U = T_{\varphi_U}^{E \cap U}$ . Thus

$$\overline{T_{\varphi_U}^{E \cap U}} = \overline{A_U} = A(\bar{\gamma}_E(\varphi_U \smile \bar{\rho}_{E \cap U, U}(\cdot))) : \text{Poly}^k(E \cap U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A(\omega) &= \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} A(\varphi_U \smile \omega) = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} A(\bar{\gamma}_E(\varphi_U \smile \bar{\rho}_{E \cap U, U}(\bar{\pi}_U))) \\ &= \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \overline{T_{\varphi_U}^{E \cap U}}(\bar{\pi}_U) = \hat{T}_E(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

□

**8.3. Extending currents of locally finite mass.** The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.4. The existence and uniqueness of the extension is proved in Proposition 8.6 below.

**Proposition 8.6.** *Let  $T \in M_{k, \text{loc}}(X)$  and let  $\hat{T} : \bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc}, c}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the linear map*

$$\omega \mapsto \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \hat{T}_E(\omega)$$

*whenever  $\mathcal{E}$  is an admissible partition for  $\omega$ . Then  $\hat{T}$  is well-defined. Moreover,  $\hat{T}$  is the unique sequentially continuous linear map  $\bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc}, c}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying*

$$\hat{T} \circ \iota_{pc} = T.$$

*Proof.* If  $\varphi \in \text{LIP}_c(X)$ ,  $\text{spt } \varphi \subset U$  is open, and  $E_1, E_2 \subset X$  are disjoint Borel sets  $E = E_1 \cup E_2$ , the identity  $T \llcorner E = T \llcorner E_1 + T \llcorner E_2$  implies that

$$\overline{T_{\varphi}^{E \cap U}} = \overline{T_{\varphi}^{E_1 \cap U}} \circ \bar{\rho}_{E_1 \cap U, E \cap U} + \overline{T_{\varphi}^{E_2 \cap U}} \circ \bar{\rho}_{E_2 \cap U, E \cap U}.$$

This and the estimate (8.4) can be used to show that, if  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  is a Borel partition of  $E$ , we have

$$\hat{T}_E(\omega) = \sum_i^{\infty} \hat{T}_{E_i}(\omega), \quad \omega \in \mathcal{G}_{E, c}^k(X).$$

Note that by (8.3) the sum above is absolutely convergent. The well-definedness of  $\hat{T} : \bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc}, c}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  follows easily from this.

The factorization  $\hat{T} \circ \iota_{pc} = T$  follows immediately from the observation that  $\hat{T}|_{\bar{\Gamma}_c^k(X)} = \tilde{T}$ , where  $\tilde{T}$  denotes the extension given by Theorem 7.1. Uniqueness follows from Proposition 8.5 and (8.3).

Next we prove that  $\hat{T}$  is sequentially continuous. Suppose  $\omega_n \rightarrow \omega$  in  $\bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc}, c}^k(X)$ , and let  $K \subset X$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\{\bar{\pi}^n\}_{\mathcal{U}}$  be as in Definition 8.3. Denote  $\mathcal{U}_K := \{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$  and set

$$C_K := \sup_{n, E, U \in \mathcal{U}_K} \bar{L}_k(\pi^n; E \cap U) < \infty.$$

Let  $\{\varphi_U\}$  be a Lipschitz partition of unity subordinate to  $\mathcal{U}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\hat{T}(\omega_n) - \hat{T}(\omega) = \hat{T}(\omega_n - \omega) = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \overline{T_{\varphi_U}^{E \cap U}}(\bar{\pi}^n) = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_K} \overline{T_{\varphi_U}^{E \cap U}}(\bar{\pi}^n).$$

Since

$$|\overline{T_{\varphi_U}^{E \cap U}}(\bar{\pi}^n)| \leq \text{Lip}_k(\bar{\pi}^n; E \cap U) \int_E \varphi_U d\|T\| \leq C_K \int_E \varphi_U d\|T\|$$



we may apply the dominated convergence theorem to conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{T}(\omega_n - \omega) = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}_K} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{T_{\varphi_U}^{E \cap U}}(\bar{\pi}^n) = 0.$$

The claim follows.  $\square$

**8.4. Boundaries of normal currents.** We finish the proof of Theorem 1.4 by showing the validity of (1.4) in Corollary 8.8.

**Proposition 8.7.** *The differentials  $d : \mathcal{G}_c^k(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_c^{k+1}(X)$  and  $\bar{d} : \overline{\mathcal{G}}_c^k(X) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{G}}_c^{k+1}(X)$  restrict to sequentially continuous linear maps*

$$d : \Gamma_{\text{pc},c}^k(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{pc},c}^{k+1}(X) \text{ and } \bar{d} : \bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc},c}^k(X) \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc},c}^{k+1}(X).$$

*Proof.* We prove the statement for  $\bar{d}$ . The other case is similar. Let  $\omega \in \bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc},c}^k(X)$  and let  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\{\bar{\pi}_U\}_{\mathcal{U}}$ , and  $C_U$  be as in Definition 8.2. Since  $\bar{d}(\omega|_E) = (\bar{d}\omega)|_E$ , Remark A.1 implies that  $\{\bar{d}\bar{\pi}_U\}_{\mathcal{U}}$  is overlap-compatible with  $\bar{d}\omega$  in  $E$  for every  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ . Moreover by Lemma 6.1 we have

$$\bar{L}_{k+1}(\bar{d}\bar{\pi}_U; E \cap U) \leq C_U(k+2)$$

for every  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ . Thus  $\bar{d}\omega \in \bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc},c}^{k+1}(X)$ .

To see sequential continuity, let  $\omega_n \rightarrow \omega$  in  $\bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc},c}^k(X)$ , and let  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $K$ ,  $C_K$ , and  $\{\bar{\pi}^n\}_{\mathcal{U}}$  be as in Definition 8.3. Since  $\rho_{E \cap U, U}(\bar{\pi}^n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(E \cap U)$  and  $\bar{d}_{E \cap U}$  is sequentially continuous it follows that  $\bar{d}_{E \cap U}(\rho_{E \cap U, U}(\bar{\pi}^n)) \rightarrow 0$  in  $\overline{\text{Poly}}^{k+1}(E \cap U)$ . Thus  $\bar{d}(\omega_n - \omega) \rightarrow 0$  in  $\bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pc},c}^{k+1}(X)$  and the proof of the proposition is complete.  $\square$

Proposition 8.7 and the uniqueness in Proposition 8.6 immediately yield the following corollary.

**Corollary 8.8.** *Let  $T \in N_{k,\text{loc}}(X)$  be a locally normal  $k$ -current on  $X$ . Let  $\widehat{T} : \Gamma_{\text{pc},c}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\widehat{\partial T} : \Gamma_{\text{pc},c}^{k-1}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be extensions of  $T$  and  $\partial T$ , respectively. Then we have*

$$\widehat{\partial T}(\omega) = \widehat{T}(d\omega)$$

for each  $\omega \in \Gamma_{\text{pc},c}^{k-1}(X)$ .

**Remark 8.9.** *Corollary 8.8 implies that  $\partial \widehat{T} : \Gamma_{\text{pc},c}^{k-1}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\omega \mapsto \widehat{T}(d\omega)$ , coincides with the extension  $\widehat{\partial T} : \Gamma_{\text{pc},c}^{k-1}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of  $\partial T : \mathcal{D}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to partition-continuous polylipschitz forms. Thus the use of the symbol  $\partial$  is unambiguous.*

## 9. FINAL REMARKS

We briefly discuss polylipschitz sections in connection with duality, and antisymmetrization on polylipschitz forms.

**9.1. Extending currents to polylipschitz sections.** Define the space  $\Gamma_{\text{pc},c}^k(X)$  and sequential convergence in  $\Gamma_{\text{pc},c}^k(X)$  as in Definitions 8.2 and 8.3. It is straightforward to check that the map  $\mathcal{Q} : \mathcal{G}^k(X) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{G}}^k(X)$  in (5.9) restricts to a sequentially continuous linear map

$$\mathcal{Q} : \Gamma_{\text{pc},c}^k(X) \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma}_{\text{pc},c}^k(X).$$

We record the following theorem for extensions of currents to polylipschitz sections. The claims follow directly from Theorems 1.4 and 1.3 together with the fact that  $\bar{d} \circ \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q} \circ d$ , cf. Proposition 5.6.

**Theorem 9.1.** *Suppose  $T \in M_{k,\text{loc}}(X)$ , let  $\widehat{T}$  be the unique extension given by Proposition 8.6, and  $\widetilde{T} := \widehat{T} \circ \mathcal{Q}$ . Then  $\widetilde{T} : \Gamma_{\text{pc},c}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  linear, sequentially continuous and satisfies  $\widetilde{T} \circ \iota = T$ .*

*Moreover, for  $T \in M_{k,\text{loc}}(X)$  and  $T' \in N_{k+1,\text{loc}}(X)$ , the identities*

$$\widetilde{\partial T'}(\omega) = \widetilde{T'}(d\omega)$$

*and*

$$|\widetilde{T}(\omega)| \leq \int_X \|\omega\|_x d\|T\|(x)$$

*hold for all  $\omega \in \Gamma_{\text{pc},c}^k(X)$ .*

**9.2. Alternating polylipschitz forms and metric currents.** In [1], Ambrosio and Kirchheim point out that the other axioms of metric currents imply that a metric  $k$ -current  $T$  on space  $X$  is alternating in the sense that

$$T(\pi_0, \pi_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \pi_{\sigma(k)}) = \text{sign}(\sigma) T(\pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)$$

for all  $\pi = (\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k) \in \mathcal{D}^k(X)$  and permutations  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, k\}$ .

Taking into account the particular role of the function  $\pi_0$  in the  $(k+1)$ -tuple  $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_k)$  in the definition of a  $k$ -current, we use this property of metric currents to define an antisymmetrization operator  $\text{Alt} = \text{Alt}_X : \text{Poly}^k(X) \rightarrow \text{Poly}^k(X)$  by

$$\text{Alt}(\pi)(x_0, \dots, x_k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma} \text{sign}(\sigma) \pi(x_0, x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k)})$$

for  $\pi \in \text{Poly}^k(X)$  and  $(x_0, \dots, x_k) \in X^{k+1}$ . This map descends to a linear map

$$\overline{\text{Alt}} : \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X) \rightarrow \overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X) \quad \text{satisfying } \mathcal{Q} \circ \text{Alt} = \overline{\text{Alt}} \circ \mathcal{Q}.$$

We call the images  $\text{Alt}(\text{Poly}^k(X))$  and  $\overline{\text{Alt}}(\overline{\text{Poly}}^k(X))$  *alternating polylipschitz functions* and *alternating homogeneous polylipschitz functions*, respectively.

Continuous sections of the étalé space associated to the corresponding presheaves gives rise to *alternating* polylipschitz sections and forms,  $\Gamma_{\text{Alt}}^k(X)$  and  $\overline{\Gamma}_{\text{Alt}}^k(X)$ , respectively. Since the exterior derivatives  $d$  and  $\bar{d}$  preserve the property of being alternating on (homogeneous) polylipschitz functions, they induce exterior derivatives

$$d : \Gamma_{\text{Alt}}^k(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{Alt}}^{k+1}(X), \quad \bar{d} : \overline{\Gamma}_{\text{Alt}}^k(X) \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma}_{\text{Alt}}^{k+1}(X).$$

Since classical differential  $k$ -forms on a manifold may be viewed either as sections of the  $k$ th exterior bundle or as sections of the bundle of alternating  $k$ -linear functions, we observe that alternating polylipschitz forms on a metric space are analogous to the latter.

It is now straightforward to check, using the observation of Ambrosio and Kirchheim, that for each metric  $k$ -current  $T$ , we have

$$\tilde{T} = \tilde{T} \circ \text{Alt} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{T} = \hat{T} \circ \overline{\text{Alt}},$$

where  $\text{Alt}$  and  $\overline{\text{Alt}}$  are the linear maps associated to the presheaf homomorphisms  $\{\text{Alt}_U\}$  and  $\{\overline{\text{Alt}}_U\}$ .

#### APPENDIX A. COHOMORPHISMS AND THEIR ASSOCIATED LINEAR MAPS

In this appendix, we define cohomomorphisms between presheaves and describe a general construction yielding a linear map associated to a given cohomomorphism.

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map between paracompact Hausdorff spaces and let  $A = \{A(U); \rho_{U,V}^A\}_U$  and  $B = \{B(U); \rho_{U,V}^B\}_U$  be presheaves on  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. A collection

$$\{\varphi_U : B(U) \rightarrow A(f^{-1}U)\}_U$$

of linear maps for each open  $U \subset Y$ , satisfying

$$(A.1) \quad \varphi_U \circ \rho_{U,V}^B = \rho_{f^{-1}U, f^{-1}V}^A \circ \varphi_V \quad \text{whenever } U \subset V,$$

is called an  *$f$ -cohomomorphism of presheaves*; cf. [2, Chapter I.4]. For  $f = \text{id} : X \rightarrow X$ , condition (A.1) becomes (5.2) and thus id-cohomomorphisms are simply presheaf homomorphisms.

An  $f$ -cohomomorphism  $\varphi : B \rightarrow A$  between presheaves induces a natural linear map

$$\varphi^* : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}(Y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}(X)),$$

the linear map (on sections) associated to  $\varphi$ . Given a global section  $\omega : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(Y)$ , the section  $\varphi^*(\omega) : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(X)$  is defined as follows: for  $x \in X$ ,

$$(A.2) \quad \varphi^*(\omega)(x) := [\varphi_U(g_U)]_x,$$

where  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $f(x)$  and  $g_U \in B(U)$  satisfies  $\omega(f(x)) = [g_U]_{f(x)}$ .

To see that  $\varphi^*(\omega)(x)$  is well-defined, suppose that  $\omega(f(x)) = [g_U]_{f(x)} = [g'_V]_{f(x)}$ , i.e., that there is a neighborhood  $D \subset U \cap V$  of  $f(x)$  for which

$$\rho_{D,U}^B(g_U) = \rho_{D,V}^B(g'_V).$$

By (A.1) we have that

$$\rho_{f^{-1}D, f^{-1}U}^A(\varphi_U(g_U)) = \varphi_D(\rho_{D,U}^B(g_U)) = \varphi_D(\rho_{D,V}^B(g'_V)) = \rho_{f^{-1}D, f^{-1}V}^A(\varphi_V(g'_V));$$

in particular  $[\varphi_U(g_U)]_x = [\varphi_V(g'_V)]_x$ .

**Remark A.1.** Let  $\omega \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}(Y))$  be compatible with  $\{g_U\}_U$ . Suppose  $U_V$  satisfies  $V = f^{-1}U_V$  for each  $V \in f^{-1}\mathcal{U}$ . Then, by (A.1) and the fact that  $\{g_U\}_U$  is compatible  $\omega$ , we have that the collection  $\{\varphi_V(g_{U_V})\}_{f^{-1}\mathcal{U}}$  is compatible with  $\varphi^*\omega$ .

If  $\omega \in \Gamma(\mathcal{B}(Y))$  and  $\{g_U\}_U$  represents  $\omega$ , then  $\{\varphi_V(g_{U_V})\}_{f^{-1}\mathcal{U}}$  represents  $\omega$ , and if  $\{g_U\}_U$  satisfies the overlap condition (5.5) then  $\{\varphi_V(g_{U_V})\}_{f^{-1}\mathcal{U}}$  also satisfies the overlap condition.

We collect some fundamental properties of linear maps associated to cohomomorphisms in the next proposition.

**Proposition A.2.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  be continuous maps between paracompact Hausdorff spaces and let  $A = \{A(U)\}_U$ ,  $B = \{B(U)\}_U$  and  $C = \{C(U)\}_U$  be presheaves on  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  respectively. Suppose*

$$\varphi = \{\varphi_U : B(U) \rightarrow A(f^{-1}U)\}_U, \quad \varphi' = \{\varphi'_U : B(U) \rightarrow A(f^{-1}U)\}_U$$

*are  $f$ -cohomomorphisms, and*

$$\psi = \{\psi_U : C(U) \rightarrow B(g^{-1}U)\}_U$$

*is an  $g$ -cohomomorphism.*

(1) *For  $\omega \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}(Y))$  we have*

$$\text{spt}(\varphi^*\omega) \subset f^{-1}(\text{spt}(\omega)).$$

(2) *The associated linear map  $\varphi^* : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}(Y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}(X))$  satisfies*

$$\varphi^*(\Gamma(\mathcal{B}(Y))) \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{A}(X)).$$

(3) *The collection  $\{\varphi_U + \varphi'_U : B(U) \rightarrow A(f^{-1}U)\}_U$  is an  $f$ -cohomomorphism and*

$$(\varphi + \varphi')^* = \varphi^* + \varphi'^* : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}(Y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}(X)).$$

(4) *The collection  $\{\varphi_{g^{-1}U} \circ \psi_U : C(U) \rightarrow A((g \circ f)^{-1}U)\}_U$  is an  $(g \circ f)$ -cohomomorphism and*

$$(\varphi \circ \psi)^* = \varphi^* \circ \psi^* : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}(Z)) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}(X)).$$

**Remark A.3.** *Given presheaves*

$$A = \{A(U)\}_U \text{ on } X \text{ and } B_1 = \{B_1(U)\}, B_2 = \{B_2(U)\} \text{ on } Y,$$

*and bilinear maps  $\{\varphi_U : B_1(U) \times B_2(U) \rightarrow A(f^{-1}U)\}$  an analogous construction gives an associated bilinear map*

$$\varphi^* : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_1(Y)) \times \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_2(Y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}(X)).$$

*The induced bi-linear map satisfies (2) and (3) and also*

(1') *For each  $(\omega, \sigma) \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_1(Y)) \times \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{B}_2(Y))$ , we have*

$$\text{spt}(\varphi^*(\omega, \sigma)) \subset f^{-1}(\text{spt } \omega \cap \text{spt } \sigma).$$

*We will need this only for the case  $\text{id} : X \rightarrow X$  in the construction of cup products. The details are similar as above and we omit them.*

*Proof of Proposition A.2.* The proofs are straightforward and we merely sketch them.

If  $\varphi^*\omega(x) \neq 0$  then, since  $\varphi_U$  is linear, (A.2) implies that  $\omega(f(x)) = [g_U]_{f(x)} \neq 0$ , proving (1). Claim (2) follows directly from Remark A.1.

To prove (3) we observe that from (A.2) it is easy to see that, if  $\varphi' : B \rightarrow A$  is another  $f$ -cohomomorphism between presheaves, then  $\varphi + \varphi'$  is an  $f$ -cohomomorphism and we have

$$(\varphi + \varphi')^* = \varphi^* + \varphi'^*.$$

To prove (4), note that condition (A.1) follows for  $\phi \circ \psi$  from the fact that it holds for  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ . Using (A.2) (and the same notation) we see that

$$(\varphi \circ \psi)^*\omega(x) = [\varphi_{g^{-1}U}(\psi_U(g_U))]\omega(x) = \varphi^*(\psi^*\omega)(x).$$

□

Proposition A.2 has the following immediate corollary.

**Corollary A.4.** *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a proper continuous map and  $\varphi : B \rightarrow A$  an  $f$ -cohomomorphism between presheaves  $B$  on  $Y$  and  $A$  on  $X$ , then*

$$\varphi^*(\mathcal{G}_c(\mathcal{B}(Y))) \subset \mathcal{G}_c(\mathcal{A}(X)) \text{ and } \varphi^*(\Gamma_c(\mathcal{B}(Y))) \subset \Gamma_c(\mathcal{A}(X)).$$

*In particular presheaf homomorphisms always have this property.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Luigi Ambrosio and Bernd Kirchheim. Currents in metric spaces. *Acta Math.*, 185(1):1–80, 2000.
- [2] Glen E. Bredon. *Sheaf theory*, volume 170 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1997.
- [3] Th. De Pauw, R. M. Hardt, and W. F. Pfeffer. Homology of normal chains and cohomology of charges. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 247(1172):v+115, 2017.
- [4] Juha Heinonen and Seppo Rickman. Geometric branched covers between generalized manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 113(3):465–529, 2002.
- [5] Urs Lang. Local currents in metric spaces. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 21(3):683–742, 2011.
- [6] O. Martio and J. Väisälä. Elliptic equations and maps of bounded length distortion. *Math. Ann.*, 282(3):423–443, 1988.
- [7] William S. Massey. *Algebraic topology: An introduction*. Harcourt, Brace & World, Inc., New York, 1967.
- [8] William S. Massey. *Homology and cohomology theory*. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1978. An approach based on Alexander-Spanier cochains, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 46.
- [9] Pekka Pankka and Elefterios Soultanis. Pull-back of metric currents and homological boundedness of BLD-elliptic spaces. arXiv:1809.03009 [math.MG].
- [10] Raymond A. Ryan. *Introduction to tensor products of Banach spaces*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2002.
- [11] Irwin W. Sandberg. Multilinear maps and uniform boundedness. *IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems*, 32(4):332–336, 1985.
- [12] Andrea Schioppa. Metric currents and Alberti representations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 271(11):3007–3081, 2016.
- [13] A. Thiago Bernardino. A simple natural approach to the uniform boundedness principle for multilinear mappings. *Proyecciones*, 28(3):203–207, 2009.
- [14] Frank W. Warner. *Foundations of differentiable manifolds and Lie groups*, volume 94 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1983. Corrected reprint of the 1971 edition.
- [15] Nik Weaver. *Lipschitz algebras*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1999.
- [16] R. O. Wells Jr. *Differential analysis on complex manifolds*, volume 65 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, second edition, 1980.
- [17] Marshall Williams. Metric currents, differentiable structures, and Carnot groups. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 11(2):259–302, 2012.

P.O. BOX 68 (PIETARI KALMIN KATU 5), FI-00014 UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, FINLAND  
*E-mail address:* pekka.pankka@helsinki.fi

SISSA, VIA BONOMEA 265, 34136, TRIESTE, ITALY, AND UNIVERSITY OF FRIBOURG, CHEMIN DU MUSÉE 23, CH-1700, FRIBOURG, SWITZERLAND  
*E-mail address:* elefterios.soultanis@gmail.com